# Pharmacomodulations around the 4-Oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamides, a Class of Potent $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$-Selective Cannabinoid Receptor Ligands: Consequences in Receptor Affinity and Functionality 

Eric Stern, ${ }^{\dagger, \hbar}$ Giulio G. Muccioli, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Barbara Bosier, ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Laurie Hamtiaux, ${ }^{*}$ Régis Millet, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Jacques H. Poupaert, ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Jean-Pierre Hénichart, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Patrick Depreux, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Jean-François Goossens, ${ }^{\S}$ and Didier M. Lambert*, ${ }^{*}$<br>Institut de Chimie Pharmaceutique Albert Lespagnol, Université de Lille 2, EA 2692, 3 rue du Pr. Laguesse, B.P. 83, F-59006 Lille, France, Unité de Chimie Pharmaceutique et de Radiopharmacie, Ecole de Pharmacie, Faculté de Médecine, Université catholique de Louvain, 73 avenue E. Mounier UCL-CMFA (7340), B-1200 Bruxelles, Belgium, and Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique, EA 4034, Faculté des Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques, Université de Lille 2, 3 rue du Pr. Laguesse, B.P. 83, F-59006 Lille, France

Received April 3, 2007
$\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor selective ligands are becoming increasingly attractive drugs due to the potential role of this receptor in several physiopathological processes. Thus, the development of our previously described series of 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamides was pursued with the aim to further characterize the structureaffinity and structure-functionality relationships of these derivatives. The influence of the side chain was investigated by synthesizing compounds bearing various carboxamido and keto substituents. On the other hand, the role of the quinoline central scaffold was studied by synthesizing several 6 -, 7 -, or 8 -chloro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolines, as well as 4 -oxo- 1,4 -dihydronaphthyridine and 4-oxo-1,4-dihydrocinnoline derivatives. The effect of these modifications on the affinity and functionality at the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor was studied and allowed for the characterization of new selective $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor ligands.

## Introduction

The cannabinoid $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor, a $G$ protein-coupled receptor (GPCR), is expressed throughout the immune cell system ${ }^{1,2}$ and was recently described in the central nervous system (CNS) under both pathological ${ }^{3}$ and physiological conditions. ${ }^{4}$ Along with the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ cannabinoid receptor, ${ }^{5}$ they represent so far the two cloned GPCRs of the endocannabinoid system $\left(\mathrm{ECS}^{a}\right)$. The ECS comprises, in addition to the two cannabinoid receptors, several endocannabinoids (2-arachidonoylglycerol, N -arachidonoylethanolamine) as well as the enzymes responsible for their production and inactivation (fatty acid amide hydrolases I and II, monoglyceride lipase, and $N$-acylethanolamine acid amidase). ${ }^{6}$ The therapeutic potential of modulating the activity of the ECS is now well established, with selective receptor ligands or enzyme inhibitors being tested clinically or preclinically. ${ }^{7,8}$ The interest for the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptors has been growing recently, with proposed indications in alleviating pain ${ }^{9,10}$ and inflammation, ${ }^{11,12}$ cough, ${ }^{13}$ and dermatitis ${ }^{14}$ and treating cancers of different origins (glioblastomas, ${ }^{15}$ lymphomas ${ }^{16}$ ). In light of these applications, the development of potent and selective $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ ligands results in powerful therapeutic tools devoid of $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor-mediated psychotropic side effects. Quite surprisingly, only a limited number of $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor selective ligands was described so far. SR-144528 (1), JTE-907, and Sch225336 are antagonist/inverse agonists based on a pyrazole, an oxoquinoline, and a phenylsulfonyl scaffold, respectively. On the other hand, $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor agonists were developed based on diterpenes (HU-308, JWH-133 (2)) and indoles (AM1241, JWH-007, GW405833). ${ }^{17,18}$ Along these lines, we previously described the synthesis, pharmacological characterization, and molecular

[^0]modeling studies of a novel series of 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquino-line-3-carboxamide derivatives acting as selective and potent $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor agonists (e.g., N3-(1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (ALICB179, 3), Chart 1). ${ }^{19}$

Here, we report the synthesis and structure-activity relationship studies of new 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline derivatives that confirm the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ selectivity of this class of compounds as well as the molecular modeling studies previously reported. ${ }^{19}$ As shown in Chart 1, various structural modifications were realized using the previously reported $\mathbf{3}$ as template. Replacement of the 4 -oxo-quinoline moiety by different naphthyridine isomers was also investigated (Chart 2). The new chiral compounds synthesized here confirm the described enantioselectivity of the interaction. ${ }^{19}$ Interestingly enough, the $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$-GTP $\gamma$ S data showed that small changes in the position of the substituents around the 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline core result in modifications of the compounds functionality, suggesting that, similarly to the pyrazole derivatives, both agonist and antagonists/inverse agonist series can be developed from the 4 -oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline derivatives.

## Results

Chemistry. The synthetic route to obtain the target 4 -oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide derivatives $\mathbf{1 0}-\mathbf{2 5}$ was previously described ${ }^{19}$ and is briefly outlined in Scheme 1. Compounds $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 2 5}$ were obtained by a coupling reaction between selected amines and 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3carboxylic acids $\mathbf{9 a - i}$, using polystyrene-supported 1-hydroxy1 H -benzotriazole (HOBt) as coupling reagent, obtained in three steps following Gould-Jacobs' procedure. ${ }^{20}$

The target 2 -substituted-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide derivatives $\mathbf{2 9}-\mathbf{3 5}$ were obtained by a similar coupling reaction between amines and the desired 2 -substituted quinoline-3-carboxylic acids 28a,b, previously synthesized in three steps (Scheme 2). $N$-Alkylation of isatoic anhydride with 1-bromopentane in anhydrous $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide (DMF), in the presence of sodium hydride, gave 1-n-pentyl-1 $H$-benzo-

Chart 1. Structural Modifications Considered on the 4-Oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-4-carboxamide Template ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Our lead compound, N3-(1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (ALICB179, 3), is shown.

Chart 2. General Structures of 4-Oxo-1,4-dihydronaphthyridine, 4-Oxo-1,4-dihydrocinnoline, and 3-Aroyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline Derivatives



[ $d][1,3]$ oxazine-2,4-dione (26). ${ }^{21}$ Selected $\beta$-ketoesters reacted with 26 in anhydrous DMF in the presence of sodium hydride, yielding the desired 4 -oxo-1-pentyl-2-substituted-1,4-dihydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl esters 27a,b. ${ }^{22}$ Hydrolysis in $10 \%$ aqueous NaOH resulted in the corresponding 4-oxo-1-pentyl-2-substituted-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acids 28a,b. ${ }^{23}$

As shown in Scheme 3, "retro-amide" isomers 38-40 were synthesized starting from 4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 9a using a Curtius reaction in the presence of diphenylphosphorylazide (DPPA). ${ }^{24}$ This reaction was conducted in tert-butanol in the presence of potassium tertbutanolate to obtain the corresponding amine derivative protected by a butoxycarbonyl moiety (36), which is easily cleaved in acidic conditions. Treatment of $\mathbf{3 6}$ with hydrochloric acid in isopropanol yielded the 3-amino-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline hydrochloride 37. Addition of the desired acyl chloride, under classical conditions, lead to the corresponding carboxamide derivatives 38-40.

3-Aminomethyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline derivatives 42-44 were also synthesized starting from the carboxylic acid 9a (Scheme 3). Compound 9a was first converted into its carbaldehyde analogue 41, using tributyltin hydride in the presence of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) in dry toluene, ${ }^{25}$ which was then engaged into a reductive amination reaction with selected amines in the presence of sodium cyanoborohydride in dry methanol to afford the target 3-ami-nomethyl-quinoline derivatives (42-44). ${ }^{26}$

1-Pentyl-4-thioxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide derivative 47 was obtained from 1-pentyl-4-thioxo-1,4-dihydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid 46 using the same coupling procedure (Scheme 4). To obtain the 4-thioxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline nucleus, the 4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-yl carboxylic acid ethyl ester 8a was treated with phosphorus pentasulfide in pyridine furnishing its 4 -thioxo isomer 45 with very good yield. ${ }^{27}$ Hydrolysis by lithium hydroxide in a tetrahydrofurane/ water mixture resulted in the corresponding 1-pentyl-4-thioxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid 46.

Chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide derivatives 52-58 were synthesized by a general procedure similar to the method described earlier for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 0}$ 25 (Scheme 5) but starting from the corresponding chloroaniline. ${ }^{28}$ Enantiopure forms of $\mathbf{5 5}$ and 56 (noted 59-62) were obtained by enantiomeric separation with HPLC on polysac-charide-based chiral stationary phases (amylase carbamate derivatives, Chiralpak AD) used in normal phase mode by adapting an analytical procedure previously described. ${ }^{29}$
[1,5]-Naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (67) and [1,6]-naphthy-ridine-3-carboxamide (68) derivatives (Scheme 6) were synthesized by a general procedure similar to the synthetic approach used to obtain 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide derivatives $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 2 5}$ but starting from the corresponding aminopyridine. ${ }^{30}$

The [1,8]-naphthyridine derivative $\mathbf{7 1}$ was synthesized using the three-step procedure outlined in Scheme 7. 4-Oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-[1,8]-naphthyridine-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester 69 was first formed using a one-pot method involving 2-chloronicotinoyl chloride and dimethylaminoacrylate in dry acetonitrile. This first step provided an acyclic intermediate that was converted into the desired [1,8]-naphthyridine derivative 69 by addition of $n$-pentylamine. ${ }^{31}$ Hydrolysis in aqueous NaOH yielded the corresponding 4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-[1,8]-naphthyridine-3-carboxylic acid 70, which was coupled with 1 -amino-3,5-dimethyladamantane to afford the 4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-[1,8]-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide 71.

The cinnoline derivative 76 was obtained using a five-step synthetic route (Scheme 8). Diethylmalonate was added to a freshly synthesized phenyl diazonium chloride, obtained by action of sodium nitrite on aniline hydrochloride, in the presence of sodium acetate in ethanol to give the malonate derivative 72, which was converted into its diacid analogue 73 by hydrolysis in aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}^{32}$ In a one-pot procedure, the diacid $\mathbf{7 3}$ was quantitatively converted into diacyl chloride using thionyl chloride in 1,2-dichlorobenzene. After evaporation of the excess of thionyl chloride, and without isolation of the diacyl chloride intermediate, titanium tetrachloride was added to conclude the cyclization and to furnish the 4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-cinnoline-3-carboxylic acid 74. The introduction of the amide substituent was performed using the desired amine and $O$-ben-zotriazol-1-yl-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) as coupling reactant using triethylamine in dry DMF, affording the 4-oxo-1,4-dihydrocinnoline-3-carboxamide 75. ${ }^{33} \mathrm{~N}$-Alkylation of $\mathbf{7 5}$ with 1-bromopentane in anhydrous DMF, in the presence of sodium hydride, gave the desired 4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydrocinnoline-3-carboxamide 76.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the 4-Oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide Derivatives $\mathbf{1 0}-\mathbf{2 5}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 91 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ph}$, reflux, $77 \%$; (iii) R-X, NaH, DMF, $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50-96 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 65-90 \%$; (v) $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, PyBRoP, PS-HOBt (HL), DIEA, DMF, rt, 25-80\%.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the
2-Substituted-4-Oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide Derivatives 29-35 ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) R-X, NaH, atm $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 65 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}-$ $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 75-76 \%$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70-76 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, PyBRoP, PS-HOBt (HL), DIEA, DMF, rt, $30-74 \%$.

The synthetic route to obtain the target 1-alkyl-3-aroyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4-one derivatives 80-98 was previously described $^{34}$ and is outlined in Scheme 9. The key step of the 1-alkyl-3-aroyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-3-one synthesis was the cyclization reaction of 2-(( $Z$ )-3-oxo-3-aryl-propenylamino)benzoic acid methyl esters $\mathbf{7 8 a}-\mathbf{g}$ leading to the 3 -aroyl-quinolin-4-one derivatives $\mathbf{7 9} \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{g}$. This reaction was carried out by refluxing $\mathbf{7 8 a}-\mathbf{g}$ in a methanol/phenyl ether mixture (1:8 ratio) in the presence of sodium methanolate. The final $N$-alkylation leading to the derivatives $\mathbf{8 0}-\mathbf{9 8}$ was performed using halogenoalkyl derivatives in dry DMF in the presence of sodium hydride.

Pharmacology. Structure-Affinity Relationships. The 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline, 4-oxo-1,4-dihydronaphthyridine, and 4-oxo-1,4-dihydrocinnoline derivatives were first screened at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for their affinity toward the $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ and $h \mathrm{CB}_{1}$ cannabinoid receptors in a competitive binding experiment as previously described. ${ }^{19} h \mathrm{CB}_{2}-\mathrm{CHO}$ or $h \mathrm{CB}_{1}-\mathrm{CHO}$ cell membranes were used in conjunction with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{CP}-55,940$ and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-$ SR-141716A as radioligands for the $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ and $h \mathrm{CB}_{1}$ cannabinoid receptors, respectively. The results expressed as the displacement percentages of the radioligand from its binding site are summarized in Tables $1-3$. The $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values at the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptor were then determined for the compounds exhibiting a displacement of the specific binding superior to $60 \%$. Taken together, these results indicated that the tested compounds possess fair to good selectivity for the $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptors.

Our initial studies showed that 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide derivatives constitute a suitable template in the design of potent and selective $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid ligands. ${ }^{19}$ To
define the structural elements required for the cannabinoid receptor affinity of our derivatives, different pharmacomodulations starting from our leading compound $\mathbf{3}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{CB}_{2}=15.8\right.$ $\mathrm{nM})^{19}$ have been carried out, as shown in Chart 1.

The adopted strategy was to prepare analogues by stepwise introduction of structural modifications on positions 1-3 and $5-8$ of the 4 -oxo-quinoline template of compound 3 . Replacement of the N1-n-pentyl side chain by various aromatic, arylalkyl, cycloalkyl-alkyl, or morpholino-alkyl moieties was investigated. We previously showed that introducing a benzyl group on the $N 1$-nitrogen (cmpd 31 in ref 19, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=664 \mathrm{nM}$ ) instead of the $n$-pentyl moiety resulted in a reduced affinity (44fold) for the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptor. ${ }^{19}$ Similarly, the introduction of a 4-halogeno-benzyl substituent resulted in the same reduction of affinity, as shown with 4-chloro- (11) and 4-bromobenzyl (12)-substituted derivatives, which only displaced $48 \%$ and $30 \%$, respectively, of the $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{CP}-55,940$ bound to the $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor. Only the 4 -fluorobenzyl derivative (10) preserves a nanomolar affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=83 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Increasing the distance between the phenyl and the 4 -oxo-quinoline resulted in an enhancement of the affinity as shown by $\mathbf{1 3}$ (phenylethyl) and 14 (phenylpropyl), with $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of 333 nM and 160 nM , respectively. Replacement of the 2-phenylethyl substituent (13) by its nonaromatic analog 2-(cyclohexyl)ethyl resulted in a lower affinity, as shown with compound 15, which only displaced $38 \%$ of radioligand. Finally, the introduction of a 2-(morpholin-4yl)ethyl substituent (16-18), mimicking the morpholinoethyl substituent characterizing WIN-55,212-2 (4), did not improve the affinity. Indeed 16, with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 221 nM , has a 14times lower affinity than the $n$-pentyl analogue $\mathbf{3}$. To confirm the effect observed with the 2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl substituent, we also synthesized compounds 17 and 18 bearing different carboxamido substituents, 2-phenylethyl (17) and (-)-1-phenylethyl (18), respectively. With these two amide substituents too a marked decrease in affinity has been observed in comparison with the $n$-pentyl analogs previously reported. ${ }^{19}$ For example, with the $(-)$-1-(phenyl)ethyl substituent on the carboxamido link, we reported for the $N 1-n$-pentyl derivative an affinity of 37 nM (cmpd $\mathbf{3 2 R}$ in ref 19), whereas the $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of the N1-2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl derivative (18) is up to 2000 nM . Taken together, these results clearly demonstrate that the affinity is very sensitive to changes in the $N 1$-substituent. Thus, because the $n$-pentyl residue appears to be the preferred one, the subsequent pharmacomodulations were performed while keeping this $N 1$-substituent constant.

The second step in our strategy was to study the effect of substituting the position-2 of the 4 -oxo-quinoline template. A methyl or a phenyl group were introduced at this position leading to compounds 29 and $\mathbf{3 0}$, which possess $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of 200 nM and 119 nM , respectively. It seemed, therefore, that the

Scheme 3. Synthesis of the Carboxylic Acid (4-Oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolin-3-yl)-amide Derivatives 38-40 and the 3-Aminomethyl- 1 H -quinolin-4-one Derivatives 42-44 ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) DPPA, $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOK}, t-\mathrm{BuOH}$, atm $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, reflux, $65 \%$; (ii) HCl 6 N , isoOH, rt, $70 \%$; (iii) $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}-\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{Cl}^{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, atm $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 0$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35-56 \%$; (iv) (a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) $\mathrm{HSnBu}_{3}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, toluene, atm $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, rt, $65 \%$; (v) (a) $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, atm $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, MeOH , atm $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 32-35 \%$.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of the
4-Thioxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide Derivative 47 $^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{10}$, pyridine, reflux, $94 \%$; (ii) LiOH , THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, $83 \%$; (iii) 1-amino-3,5-dimethyladamantane, PyBRoP, PSHOBt (HL), DIEA, DMF, rt, $60 \%$.
introduction of a substituent, leading to a marked reduction in affinity (compared to $\mathbf{3}$ ), is unfavorable. To confirm this hypothesis, compounds $\mathbf{3 1}-\mathbf{3 5}$ have been synthesized and are characterized by the presence of a substituent in position-2 and by selected carboxamido substituents known to impart good affinity in our series. In all cases, the affinity was strongly decreased. Taken together, these data demonstrate that the introduction of a substituent in position 2 results in a drastic reduction of the affinity for the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptor.

Optically active 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide derivatives previously reported showed a stereoselectivity in the binding at the $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor. ${ }^{19}$ In accordance with our previous results, the eutomers of this novel series exhibit about 10 -fold higher affinity than the distomers. For instance, ( + )-N3-(1-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthyl))-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquino-line-3-carboxamide (19) possesses a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 41.7 nM , whereas the affinity of the $(-)$-enantiomer (20) is markedly reduced ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1010 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Because the adamantyl substituent was shown to favor the affinity, we decided to combine this structural requirement with a chiral center between the carboxamido function and the 1-adamantyl group (23). The steric constraint and the distance imposed by the methyl group on the methylene spacer does not affect the affinity as shown by compound 23 ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=28.5 \mathrm{nM}$ ), which was first assayed as racemate. The adamantylmethyl derivative (22) was also synthesized as the comparison compound and showed a slight decrease of affinity, with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 50.6 nM .

The two enantiopure forms of 23, referenced as $\mathbf{2 4}$ and 25, were obtained as recently described ${ }^{29}$ and showed an affinity of 14.0 nM and 202 nM , respectively. These results highlight a stereoselective ligand-receptor interaction regardless of the nature of the amide substituent. The affinity of $\mathbf{2 4}$ for the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor was also determined to further assess the selectivity of this potent $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptor ligand. A $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 416 nM , resulting in a selectivity ratio for the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptor of 30 , has been determined.

To investigate the hypothesis previously suggested by molecular modeling studies, that is, $\mathbf{3}$ interacts with the $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor through a combination of hydrogen bonds with the residue Ser-193 and aromatic/hydrophobic interactions, ${ }^{19}$ compounds with different modifications of the carboxamide link were synthesized. The amide link was first replaced by its "retroamide" isomer, giving compound 38. This modification did not elicit a clear effect on the affinity, as $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{3 8}$ possess affinities of the same magnitude ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 15.8 nM and 25.5 nM , respectively). The carbonyl group of the amide link was also replaced by a methylene, resulting in "reduced-analogues" of the amide (42-44). This modification induced in a dramatic reduction in affinity (up to 100 -fold), as illustrated by compound $42\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=1670 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. These results support the importance of the amide/Ser-193 hydrogen bond. The similar affinities found for compounds $\mathbf{3 8}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ could be explained by the establishment of a hydrogen bond between the amide hydrogen atom of $\mathbf{3}$ and the Ser-193 hydroxyl oxygen atom.

Recently, Muccioli and co-workers showed, for some selective $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ imidazolidine-2,4-diones, that the introduction of a sulfur atom resulted in an enhanced affinity. ${ }^{35-37}$ Here, the oxygen atom replacement by a sulfur atom, yielding the 4-thioxo-quinoline derivative (47), did not modify the affinity for the $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor because 47 possesses a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 18.2 nM compared to 15.8 nM for the oxo analogue (3).

It is well-established that the presence of halogen atoms on the structure of cannabinoid ligands represents an important requirement to increase the affinity (e.g. 1, tricyclic pyrazoles, AM630). Besides the effect of introducing such halogen on the $N_{1}$-benzyl moiety ( $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 2}$ ), a set of chloro analogs of $\mathbf{3}$ were synthesized to study the effect of introducing a halogen atom on the 4 -oxo-quinoline moiety ( $\mathbf{5 2}-\mathbf{5 8}$, Table 2 ). Introduction of a chlorine in position $6(52)$ or $8(\mathbf{5 4})$ resulted in a moderate reduction of the affinities, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=54.6 \mathrm{nM}$ or $K_{\mathrm{i}}=27.4 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively. However, the introduction of a chlorine atom in

Scheme 5. Synthesis of the Chloro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide Derivatives 52-58 ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70-91 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ph}$, reflux, $72-83 \%$; (iii) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50-96 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 65-90\%; (v) R'-NH2, PyBRoP, PS-HOBt (HL), DIEA, DMF, rt, 25-80\%.

Scheme 6. Synthesis of the 4-Oxo-1,4-dihydro-[1,5]-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide Derivative 67 and the 4-Oxo-1,4-dihydro-[1,6]-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide Derivative $\mathbf{6 8}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) toluene, reflux, $90-95 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ph}$, reflux, $85-90 \%$; (iii) $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70-75 \%$; (v) 1-amino-3,5-dimethyladamantane, PyBRoP, PS-HOBt (HL), DIEA, DMF, rt, 25-30\%.

Scheme 7. Synthesis of the
4-Oxo-1,4-dihydro-[1,8]-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide Derivative $71{ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, acetonitrile, atm $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, reflux; (b) $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, acetonitrile, atm $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, reflux, $60 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $74 \%$; (iii) 1-amino-3,5-dimethyladamantane, PyBRoP, PS-HOBt (HL), DIEA, DMF, rt, $40 \%$.
position 7 of the quinoline template appears to be the more favorable substitution, with $\mathbf{5 3}$ showing a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 5.3 nM . The structure-affinity relationships on the introduction of the chlorine were also confirmed with other carboxamido-substituted quinoline derivatives (55-62). For instance, when considering the (-)-1-(1-adamantyl)ethyl derivatives 59 and 61, the 7-chloro derivative $\mathbf{6 1}$ showed an increased affinity compared to the

6-chloro derivative 59 ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of 26.1 nM and 235 nM , respectively). Because $\mathbf{6 1}$ displaced over $90 \%$ of the radioligand bound to the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor, the $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value was also determined and found to be of 615 nM , over 1 order of magnitude higher than the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor affinity.

Since a recent series of [1,8]-naphthyridine were published as potent $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$-selective cannabinoid receptor ligands, ${ }^{38}$ the influence of the second nitrogen atom present in the naphthyridine nuclei was investigated with the syntheses of the [1,5]-, [1,6]-, and [1,8]-naphthyridine derivatives of $\mathbf{3}$ (compounds 67, 68, and 71, respectively) as well as the cinnoline derivative 76 (Table 2). Binding data revealed that the introduction of a nitrogen in position $5\left(67, K_{\mathrm{i}}=259 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ resulted in a decrease of the affinity ( 16 -fold), whereas the [1,6]- $\left(\mathbf{6 8}, K_{\mathrm{i}}=30.9 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and [1,8]-naphthyridines ( $71, K_{\mathrm{i}}=23.5 \mathrm{nM}$ ) gave affinities of the same magnitude compared to that of $\mathbf{3}$. Finally, the cinnoline 76 exhibits a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 93.0 nM .

We next decided to keep the 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline template constant and to replace the carboxamido link by a ketone link, similar to the one present in 4 . As for the amide series, various structural modifications have been introduced, starting with the substituent linked on the quinoline $N 1$-position. Different alkyl, aryl-alkyl, and cycloalkyl-alkyl were branched on this new 3-(naphth-1-oyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline template. As shown in Table 3, the substitution of the N1-nitrogen was mandatory to bind to the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptor because 79a (at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) only displaced $31 \%$ of the radioligand from its

Scheme 8. Synthesis of the 4-Oxo-1,4-dihydro-cinnoline-3-carboxamide Derivative 76 ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) HCl 12 N , rt, quant; (b) $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) diethylmalonate, $\mathrm{AcONa}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 95 \%$; (ii) NaOH , $\mathrm{EtOH}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 81 \%$; (iii) (a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, 1,2$-dichlorobenzene, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, 1,2$-dichlorobenzene, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 65 \%$; (iv) 1-amino-3,5-dimethyladamantane, HBTU , $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 68 \%$; (v) $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{NaH}$, DMF, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \%$.

Scheme 9. Synthesis of the 3-Aroyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4-one Derivatives 80-98 ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) $\mathrm{EtONa}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt; (b) $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{Br}$, DMF, rt, 39-85\%; (ii), methyl anthranylate, $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, THF, rt, $40-84 \%$; (iii), $\mathrm{MeONa}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ph}(1 / 8), 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or reflux, $37-66 \%$; (iv) R-X, NaH, DMF, $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17-68 \%$.
binding site. A first set of 11 compounds variously substituted in position 1 was synthesized $(\mathbf{8 0}-\mathbf{9 0})$. In this series, as for the carboxamide derivatives, the highest $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ affinity was obtained with an $n$-pentyl chain ( $\mathbf{8 1} ; K_{\mathrm{i}}=154 \mathrm{nM}$ ). This compound possesses a strong $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ selectivity because it only displaced $56 \%$ of the $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-SR-141716A $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ specific binding at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Replacement of this alkyl chain by a benzyl ( $83, K_{\mathrm{i}}=656 \mathrm{nM}$ ) or a benzyl substituted by a chlorine $\left(\mathbf{8 5}, K_{\mathrm{i}}=1120 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ or bromine (86, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=966 \mathrm{nM}$ ) resulted in reduction of the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ affinity. Note that the 4 -fluorobenzyl derivative possesses a higher affinity than the other benzyl derivatives (84, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=225$ nM ). In addition, the presence of a 4-halogenated-benzyl moiety on the $N 1$-position of the quinoline nucleus results in an increased displacement of the $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{SR}-141716 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{CB}_{1}$ specific binding (e.g., 84 at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ displaced $70 \%$ of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-SR-141716A binding). Thus, the $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of $\mathbf{8 4}$ at the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor was determined and found to be higher than 2000 nM . However, the significance of this selectivity is reduced by the lower $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ affinities of these ketone derivatives.

Increasing the benzyl methylene spacer into an ethyl (87) or a propyl (88) did not result in an enhancement of the affinity. Replacement of the aromatic moiety of 2-(phenyl)ethyl by a 2-cyclohexylethyl ( $\mathbf{8 9}, K_{\mathrm{i}}=1670 \mathrm{nM}$ ) or by a 2-(morpholin4 -yl)ethyl (90) led to a loss of affinity. By keeping the N1-npentyl side chain constant, a library of compounds with various aroyl substituents in position 3 of the quinoline template has been developed. The 1-naphthyl ( $\mathbf{8 1}, K_{\mathrm{i}}=154 \mathrm{nM}$ ) moiety proved to be more favorable compared to its 2-naphthyl isomer $\left(91, K_{\mathrm{i}}=1288 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ or the bulkier 9-anthracenyl (98, $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1500$
$\mathrm{nM})$. Similarly, replacing the naphthyl moiety by a phenyl one (92, $\left.K_{\mathrm{i}}=446 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ did not improve the affinity. And finally, substitution of the phenyl with polar substituents (93-96) induced in all cases a decrease in the affinity toward the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptor. Finally, as the ketone derivatives possess lower affinities than their carboxamide analogues, it appears that, in this quinoline chemical series, the presence of an H-bond donor/acceptor group results in increased $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ affinity.

Structure-Functionality Relationships. The derivatives functionality was investigated by using a [ $\left.{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$-GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding assay as previously described. ${ }^{37}$ This assay constitutes a functional measure of the direct interaction between the receptor and the G protein, the first step in the activation of GPCRs. Although the first series of 4-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxamide derivatives behaved as agonists, ${ }^{19}$ quite unexpected results were obtained with the new derivatives described here, which comprised agonists, antagonists, and inverse agonists compounds (Table 4).

Although increasing the spacer length between the quinoline moiety and the phenyl from 1 (cmpd 31 in ref 19) to 3 (14) methylenes resulted in an enhanced affinity, the functionality of the resulting 3-phenylpropyl is also affected because $\mathbf{1 4}$ behaved as a neutral antagonist rather than as agonist. An interesting switch in functionality was also observed when a phenyl substituent was introduced in position 2 of the quinoline. Indeed, $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 5}$ both behaved as inverse agonists at the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor decreasing the $\left.{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$-GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding by $75 \%$, while their unsubstituted analogues, $\mathbf{3 0}^{39}$ and $\mathbf{2 8}$ in ref 19, activate the receptor. Similarly, compound 47, characterized by a 4-thioxoquinoline template, significantly decreased the [ ${ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}$ ]GTP $\gamma$ S binding ( $65 \%$ compared to control). Analogously, the introduction of a chlorine in position $6(52)$ or $7(53)$ of the N3-(1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl)-quinoline-3-carboxamide moiety completely modifies the derivatives functionality, as these two compounds strongly reduced the $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$-GTP $\gamma$ S binding, thus acting as inverse agonists of the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor ( $49 \%$ and $51 \%$ of control, respectively). On the contrary, the 8 -chlorosubstituted 54 retained an agonist profile ( $187 \%$ of control). The introduction of an additional nitrogen in the quinoline nucleus, leading to $[1,5]-$-, $[1,6]$-, and $[1,8]$-naphthyridine ( 67 , 68, and 71, respectively), did not influence the functionality because all three compounds kept their agonist profile, with some changes in the efficacy though because $\mathbf{6 8}$ and $\mathbf{7 1}$ act as partial agonists. However, introduction of the nitrogen in position 2 as for the cinnoline 76, resulted in a neutral antagonist because no significant stimulation of the $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$-GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding was observed.

Table 1. Structure and Binding Data of Compounds 10-25, 29-35, 38-40, 42-44, and $\mathbf{4 7}$ and Reference Cannabinoid Ligands on $h \mathrm{CB}_{1}$ and $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ Cannabinoid Receptors ${ }^{a}$


| cmpd | R | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ | \% of displacement |  | $\begin{aligned} & K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \\ & h \mathrm{CB}_{2}-\mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $h \mathrm{CB}_{1}-\mathrm{R}$ | $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}-\mathrm{R}$ |  |
| 10 | 4-fluorobenzyl | H | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $59.3 \pm 2.9$ | $93.2 \pm 1.1$ | $83.4 \pm 6.9$ |
| 11 | 4-chlorobenzyl | H | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $38.7 \pm 2.7$ | $48.1 \pm 1.8$ | N.D. |
| 12 | 4-bromobenzyl | H | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $<10$ | $30.5 \pm 1.6$ | N.D. |
| 13 | 2-phenylethyl | H | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $<20$ | $95.8 \pm 1.4$ | $333 \pm 51$ |
| 14 | 3-phenylpropyl | H | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $<10$ | $101.2 \pm 2.5$ | $160 \pm 21$ |
| 15 | 2-cyclohexylethyl | H | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $<10$ | $38.8 \pm 2.4$ | N.D. |
| 16 | 2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl | H | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $<10$ | $95.6 \pm 1.3$ | $221 \pm 38$ |
| 17 | 2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl | H | 2-phenylethyl | $<10$ | $46.7 \pm 3.7$ | N.D. |
| 18 | 2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl | H | (-)-1-phenylethyl | $<10$ | $66.5 \pm 1.1$ | >2000 |
| 19 | pentyl | H | (+)-1-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthyl) | $82.1 \pm 4.0$ | $98.0 \pm 1.6$ | $41.7 \pm 0.4$ |
| 20 | pentyl | H | (-)-1-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthyl) | $44.5 \pm 5.3$ | $90.9 \pm 0.9$ | $1010 \pm 71$ |
| 21 | 2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl | H | 1-adamantyl | $<10$ | $101.2 \pm 5.1$ | $152 \pm 30$ |
| 22 | pentyl | H | 1-(adamantyl)methyl | $36.7 \pm 3.6$ | $87.2 \pm 1.8$ | $50.6 \pm 5.2$ |
| 23 | pentyl | H | ( $\pm$ )-1-(adamantyl)ethyl | $72.2 \pm 0.7$ | $99.1 \pm 1.5$ | $28.5 \pm 1.4$ |
| 24 | pentyl | H | (-)-1-(adamantyl)ethyl | $83.6 \pm 6.6$ | $101.0 \pm 1.4$ | $14.0 \pm 0.7$ |
| 25 | pentyl | H | (+)-1-(adamantyl)ethyl | $31.0 \pm 1.8$ | $64.3 \pm 3.5$ | $202 \pm 65$ |
| 29 | pentyl | methyl | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $39.8 \pm 5.8$ | $96.7 \pm 1.3$ | $200 \pm 13$ |
| 30 | pentyl | phenyl | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $40.0 \pm 4.1$ | $97.2 \pm 0.5$ | $119 \pm 8$ |
| 31 | pentyl | methyl | 2-phenylethyl | <20 | $57.3 \pm 3.5$ | N.D. |
| 32 | pentyl | methyl | (-)-1-(phenylethyl) | $27.2 \pm 4.3$ | $91.7 \pm 3.2$ | $663 \pm 105$ |
| 33 | pentyl | methyl | (-)-1-(2-naphthyl)ethyl | $<20$ | $62.6 \pm 2.5$ | $>2000$ |
| 34 | pentyl | methyl | (-)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethyl | $23.6 \pm 4.3$ | $70.4 \pm 3.1$ | >3000 |
| 35 | pentyl | phenyl | 1-adamantyl | $29.1 \pm 4.5$ | $94.9 \pm 1.8$ | $338 \pm 29$ |
| 38 | pentyl | H | 1-adamantyl | $52.3 \pm 3.3$ | $99.9 \pm 0.1$ | $25.5 \pm 1.3$ |
| 39 | pentyl | H | 2-phenylethyl | $28.1 \pm 4.8$ | $83.4 \pm 1.4$ | $1130 \pm 102$ |
| 40 | pentyl | H | 1-naphthyl | $59.0 \pm 3.5$ | $97.4 \pm 1.4$ | $265 \pm 12$ |
| 42 | pentyl | H | 1-adamantyl | $27.0 \pm 4.0$ | $81.3 \pm 2.5$ | $1670 \pm 163$ |
| 43 | pentyl | H | 2-phenylethyl | $24.0 \pm 6.3$ | $25.9 \pm 4.6$ | $>4000$ |
| 44 | pentyl | H | ( $\pm$ )-1-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthyl) | $41.0 \pm 3.5$ | $63.9 \pm 2.1$ | >4000 |
| 47 | pentyl | H | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $35.1 \pm 3.0$ | $95.7 \pm 9.9$ | $18.2 \pm 2.8$ |
| Reference Compounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  | N.D. | N.D. | $60.2 \pm 5.5^{b}$ |
| 2 |  |  |  | N.D. | N.D. | $20.3 \pm 2.6^{b}$ |
| 3 |  |  |  | $54.6 \pm 2.4$ | $95.6 \pm 5.4$ | $15.8 \pm 1.4^{b}$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | N.D. | N.D. | $9.1 \pm 0.8^{b}$ |
| $5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  | N.D. | N.D. | $15.4 \pm 1.4^{b}$ |

${ }^{a}$ The $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were obtained from nonlinear analysis of competition curves using $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{CP}-55,940$ as radioligand. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM of three to four experiments performed in duplicate. ${ }^{b}$ From ref 19. ${ }^{c}$ CP-55,940.

These observations open the way for further molecular pharmacology research in terms of which key amino acid residues are involved in the binding and the efficacy of these compounds in the cannabinoid $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor.

## Conclusion

The present study allowed us to extend the structure-affinity relationship studies of the 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinolines, a class of potent and selective $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptor ligands. Reversing the amide bond or introducing an additional nitrogen in the quinoline nucleus, leading to [1,6]-naphthyridine or [1,8]naphthyridine, did not elicit major changes in the affinity of the compounds. However, the consequences of such modifications deeply affected the functionality of these ligands. Indeed, small changes in the compound structure, like the replacement of the 4 -oxo-dihydroquinoline by a 4 -thioxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline or the introduction of a chlorine atom, resulted in the switch of the functionality from agonist to antagonist or inverse agonist. This information will be very useful in the future development of this class of derivatives, allowing for the targeted synthesis
of potent and selective agonist or antagonist/inverse agonist of the $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptor.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. All commercial reagents and solvents were used without further purification. Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed on precoated Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates (Merck); the spots were located by UV ( 254 and 366 nm ) and with iodine; $R_{f}$ values are given for guidance. Silica gel 60 230-400 mesh purchased from Merck was used for column chromatography. Preparative thick-layer chromatography (PTLC) was performed using silica gel from Merck, the compounds were extracted from silica gel by the following solvent system: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 7: 3$ (v/ v). All melting points were measured with a Büchi 535 capillary apparatus and remain uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained using a Brücker 300 MHz spectrometer, chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) were expressed in ppm relative to the tetramethylsilane peak used as internal standard, $J$ values are in Hertz, and the splitting patterns were designated as follows: $s$, singlet; d, doublet; $t$, triplet; $m$, multiplet. IR spectra were determined with a Brücker Vector 22 spectrometer on a germanium crystal. $\mathrm{APCI}^{+}$(atmospheric pressure

Table 2. Structure and Binding Data of Compounds 52-62, 67, 68, 71, and 76 on $h \mathrm{CB}_{1}$ and $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ Cannabinoid Receptors ${ }^{a}$


| cmpd |  | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ | \% of displacement |  | $\begin{aligned} & K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \\ & h \mathrm{CB}_{2}-\mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $h \mathrm{CB}_{1}-\mathrm{R}$ | $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}-\mathrm{R}$ |  |
| 52 | 6-chloro | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | <20 | $86.7 \pm 0.2$ | $54.6 \pm 8.2$ |
| 53 | 7-chloro | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $59.6 \pm 5.1$ | $105.8 \pm 9.0$ | $5.3 \pm 0.7$ |
| 54 | 8-chloro | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $23.8 \pm 13.1$ | $98.7 \pm 2.6$ | $27.4 \pm 9.1$ |
| 55 | 6-chloro | ( $\pm$ )-1-(adamantyl)ethyl | $42.4 \pm 0.9$ | $81.8 \pm 13.0$ | $222 \pm 32$ |
| 59 | 6-chloro | (-)-1-(adamantyl)ethyl | $56.3 \pm 6.6$ | $87.7 \pm 7.7$ | $235 \pm 77$ |
| 60 | 6-chloro | (+)-1-(adamantyl)ethyl | <20 | $97.2 \pm 0.5$ | $144 \pm 45$ |
| 56 | 7-chloro | (土)-1-(adamantyl)ethyl | $88.2 \pm 5.1$ | $102.1 \pm 2.8$ | $41.1 \pm 3.4$ |
| 61 | 7-chloro | (-)-1-(adamantyl)ethyl | $91.6 \pm 6.0$ | $102.4 \pm 1.3$ | $26.1 \pm 3.5$ |
| 62 | 7-chloro | (+)-1-(adamantyl)ethyl | $22.4 \pm 3.8$ | $92.9 \pm 6.5$ | $265 \pm 66$ |
| 57 | 6-chloro | (土)-1-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthyl) | $60.4 \pm 1.6$ | $98.2 \pm 4.7$ | $506 \pm 88$ |
| 58 | 6-chloro | (+)-1-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthyl) | $59.1 \pm 4.3$ | $92.8 \pm 2.4$ | $121 \pm 5.6$ |
| 67 | [1,5]-naphthyridine | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $26.3 \pm 5.6$ | $93.4 \pm 7.0$ | $259 \pm 22$ |
| 68 | [1,6]-naphthyridine | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $30.0 \pm 11.8$ | $95.0 \pm 2.1$ | $30.9 \pm 3.9$ |
| 71 | [1,8]-naphthyridine | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $50.0 \pm 11.5$ | $101.8 \pm 1.4$ | $23.5 \pm 1.8$ |
| 76 | cinnoline | 1-(3,5-dimethyl)adamantyl | $26.1 \pm 8.6$ | $94.6 \pm 0.4$ | $93.0 \pm 13.0$ |

${ }^{a}$ The $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were obtained from nonlinear analysis of competition curves using $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{CP}-55,940$ as radioligand. Data are mean $\pm \mathrm{SEM}$ of three to four experiments performed in duplicate.

Table 3. Structure and Binding Data of Compounds 79a and $\mathbf{8 0 - 9 8}$ on $h \mathrm{CB}_{1}$ and $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ Cannabinoid Receptors ${ }^{a}$


79a, 80-98

| cmpd | R | Ar | \% of displacement |  | $\begin{aligned} & K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \\ & h \mathrm{CB}_{2}-\mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $h \mathrm{CB}_{1}-\mathrm{R}$ | $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}-\mathrm{R}$ |  |
| 79a | H | 1-naphthyl | $<10$ | $31.0 \pm 4.3$ | N.D. |
| 80 | butyl | 1-naphthyl | $33.0 \pm 3.5$ | $77.7 \pm 5.7$ | $1550 \pm 529$ |
| 81 | pentyl | 1-naphthyl | $55.8 \pm 4.3$ | $97.0 \pm 3.8$ | $154 \pm 18$ |
| 82 | hexyl | 1-naphthyl | $35.8 \pm 3.2$ | $89.5 \pm 0.8$ | $582 \pm 60$ |
| 83 | benzyl | 1-naphthyl | $47.1 \pm 2.3$ | $100.2 \pm 4.2$ | $656 \pm 19$ |
| 84 | 4-fluorobenzyl | 1-naphthyl | $70.8 \pm 11.7$ | $111.6 \pm 0.3$ | $225 \pm 85$ |
| 85 | 4-chlorobenzyl | 1-naphthyl | $73.8 \pm 6.3$ | $96.5 \pm 6.6$ | $1120 \pm 222$ |
| 86 | 4-bromobenzyl | 1-naphthyl | $79.8 \pm 2.8$ | $93.7 \pm 4.3$ | $966 \pm 148$ |
| 87 | 2-phenylethyl | 1-naphthyl | $29.3 \pm 5.2$ | $56.8 \pm 16.0$ | N.D. |
| 88 | 3-phenylpropyl | 1-naphthyl | $31.3 \pm 0.2$ | $80.4 \pm 0.4$ | >2000 |
| 89 | 2-cyclohexylethyl | 1-naphthyl | $28.7 \pm 3.3$ | $78.5 \pm 3.2$ | $1670 \pm 256$ |
| 90 | 2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl | 1-naphthyl | $<10$ | $46.1 \pm 2.4$ | N.D. |
| 91 | pentyl | 2-naphthyl | $35.7 \pm 4.5$ | $74.3 \pm 2.6$ | $1288 \pm 92$ |
| 92 | pentyl | phenyl | $49.5 \pm 5.1$ | $94.5 \pm 5.4$ | $446 \pm 80$ |
| 93 | butyl | 4-methoxyphenyl | <10 | $<10$ | N.D. |
| 94 | pentyl | 4-methoxyphenyl | $<20$ | $46.9 \pm 6.4$ | N.D. |
| 95 | hexyl | 4-methoxyphenyl | $39.9 \pm 5.9$ | $68.8 \pm 2.0$ | $>2000$ |
| 96 | pentyl | 3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl | $27.2 \pm 5.4$ | $70.2 \pm 8.0$ | >2000 |
| 97 | pentyl | 2-(6-methoxy)naphthyl | $<20$ | $44.1 \pm 4.0$ | N.D. |
| 98 | pentyl | 9-anthracenyl | $52.9 \pm 3.2$ | $76.5 \pm 3.1$ | $1500 \pm 223$ |

[^1]chemical ionization) mass spectra were obtained on an LC-MS system Thermo Electron Surveyor MSQ. Optical rotations ( $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 343 polarimeter. Specific rotations are given as $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{dm}$, and the concentration values are reported as $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of the specified solvent and were recorded at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analyses were performed by the "Service Central d'Analyses" at the CNRS, Vernaison (France). Chiral separations
were carried out using a gradient Waters 600E metering pump model equipped with a Waters 996 photodiode array spectrophotometer. Chromatographic data were collected and processed on a computer running with Millennium 2010. The column eluate was monitored at 220 and 230 nm . The sample loop was $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (Rheodyne 7125 injector). Chiral chromatography was carried out on a Chiralpak AD-H (Tris-3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate; 250 mm

Table 4. $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$-GTP $\gamma$ S Binding Stimulation Assays of Selected Compounds and Reference Compounds for the $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ Cannabinoid Receptors ${ }^{a}$

|  | $[35 \mathrm{~S}]-\mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ <br> specific binding <br> $($ control $=100 \%)$ | cmpd | $[35 \mathrm{~S}]$-GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ <br> specific binding <br> (control $=100 \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{c m p d}$ | $157.1 \pm 4.7^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $187.4 \pm 4.0^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $140.6 \pm 8.1^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $97.3 \pm 4.3^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $98.8 \pm 3.56$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $86.1 \pm 2.3^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $141.0 \pm 4.9^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $76.7 \pm 2.5^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $182.9 \pm 6.7^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $56.3 \pm 6.1^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $155.0 \pm 3.25^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $53.5 \pm 3.2^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $155.6 \pm 3.8^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $42.7 \pm 2.6^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $174.6 \pm 3.5^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $98.5 \pm 4.3^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $172.5 \pm 1.9^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $123.7 \pm 2.7^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $128.2 \pm 4.5^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $170.4 \pm 3.4^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $27.1 \pm 3.2^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $135.6 \pm 3.4^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $185.9 \pm 9.0^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $123.5 \pm 2.8^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $26.5 \pm 2.9^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $109.3 \pm 3.1^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $119.7 \pm 10.1^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $21.6 \pm 2.7^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $183.3 \pm 7.4^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $201.4 \pm 7.5^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $139.4 \pm 4.9^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $130.7 \pm 1.8^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $65.6 \pm 1.8^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $207.1 \pm 10.1^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $49.6 \pm 1.8^{* *}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $230.5 \pm 13.7^{* *}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $51.6 \pm 0.8^{* *}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Results are expressed as the percentages of stimulation of $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$-GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding (basal value set at $100 \%$ ) obtained for a concentration of ligands of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Data are the mean $\pm$ SEM of three experiments performed in duplicate. Statistical significance assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by a Dunett post-test ( ${ }^{*} P<0.05$ and ${ }^{* *} P<0.01$ ).
$\times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d.; $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; Daicel Chemical Industries, Baker, France). The mobile phase consisting of hexane/propan-2-ol (9:1, v/v) was degassed with a Waters inline degasser apparatus and delivered at a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. All the separations were carried out at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The peak of the solvent front was considered to be equal to the dead time $\left(t_{0}\right)$ and was about 3.70 min . For preliminary studies, compounds were dissolved in propan-2-ol at a concentration of $0.50 \mathrm{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ (concentration $100 \%$ ) and passed through a 0.45 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ membrane filter prior to loading the column.

Procedures for the preparation of 2-phenylaminomethylenemalonic acid diethyl ester (6) and 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3carboxylic acid ethyl ester (7) have been previously described. ${ }^{19}$

The procedures for the synthesis of 1-alkyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-quinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (8a-i) and 1-alkyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (9a-i), as well as their characterization, are described in the Supporting Information.

General Procedure for the Preparation of N3-Aryl-1-alkyl-4-0xo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (10-25). To a solution of PybrOP ( 1.5 mmol ) in 3 mL of dry DMF were added at room temperature compounds $\mathbf{9 a - i}$ and diisopropylethylamine (3.0 $\mathrm{mmol})$. The preswollen resin $(0.75 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry DMF was treated with the above mixture at room temperature for 3 h , after which time the resin was washed three times with dry DMF and three times with dichloromethane. The same activation procedure was repeated once. The appropriate amine ( 0.67 mmol ) dissolved in dry DMF was reacted with the polymer-bound activated ester for 24 h at room temperature. The supernatant was then separated from the resin by filtration and the polymer beads were washed three times with dry DMF and three times with dichloromethane. The combined solutions were concentrated and the residue was purified either by crystallization or preparative TLC.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-(4-fluorobenzyl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (10). Compound 10 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methyl alcohol 99:1), white solid (185 $\mathrm{mg}, 45 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} ; \mathrm{IR} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 459$ $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-(4-chlorobenzyl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (11). Compound 11 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 99:1), white solid ( 235 mg , $55 \%)$; mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 476\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-(4-bromobenzyl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (12). Compound 12 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 99:1), white solid (280 $\mathrm{mg}, 60 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} ;$ IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 520$ $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-(2-phenylethyl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (13). Compound 13 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98:2), white solid (102 mg, $25 \%)$; mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 455\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-(3-phenylpropyl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (14). Compound 14 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98:2), white solid (147 $\mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) ; mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) ~ m / z 469$ $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-(2-cyclohexylethyl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (15). Compound 15 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white solid (248 $\mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) ; mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) ~ m / z 461$ $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-(2-(morpholin-4-yl)-ethyl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide Hydrochloride (16). Compound 16 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98: 2), white solid ( $217 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 464\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(2-Phenylethyl))-4-oxo-1-(2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide Hydrochloride (17). Compound 17 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 6:4), white solid (178 mg, 45\%); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $406\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.
(-)-N3-(1-(1-Phenylethyl))-4-oxo-1-(2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide Hydrochloride (18). Compound 18 was purified by TLC eluting from dichloromethane/ methanool 95:5, white solid ( $262 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) ; mp; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-75^{\circ}$ $\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{IR} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $406\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.
(+)-N3-(1-(1,2,3,4-Tetrahydronaphthyl))-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (19). Compound 19 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $7: 3$ ), white oil ( $209 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+1.8^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LCMS (APCI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z 389\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N3-(1-(1,2,3,4-Tetrahydronaphthyl))-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (20). Compound 20 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white oil ( $216 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-1.8^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{LC}-$ MS (APCI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z 389\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-Adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-(2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl)-1,4-di-hydroquinoline-3-carboxamide Hydrochloride (21). Compound 21 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98:2), white solid ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right)$ $m / z 436\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-((1-Adamantyl)methyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquino-line-3-carboxamide (22). Compound 22 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white solid ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 407\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-N3-(1-(1-Adamantyl)ethyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-quinoline-3-carboxamide (23). Compound 23 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white solid (208 mg, 55\%); mp; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+0^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 422\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N3-(1-(1-Adamantyl)ethyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-quinoline-3-carboxamide (24). Compound 24 was prepared by chiral preparative HPLC (stationary phase: Chiralpak AD $(20 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; mobile phase: $n$-hexane/propan-2-ol, 90/10; separation yield: $94 \%$ ), white solid ( 245 mg ); mp; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-93^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS (APCI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z 422\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-N3-(1-(1-Adamantyl)ethyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-quinoline-3-carboxamide (25). Compound 25 was prepared by chiral preparative HPLC (stationary phase: Chiralpak AD ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ );
mobile phase: $n$-hexane/propan-2-ol, $90 / 10$; separation yield: $91 \%$ ), white solid $(235 \mathrm{mg})$; mp; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+93^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 422\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.

Synthesis and Characterization of 26, 27a,b, and 28a,b. The synthesis and characterization of $\mathbf{2 6}, \mathbf{2 7 a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{2 8 a}, \mathbf{b}$ are described in the Supporting Information.

General Procedure for the Preparation of N3-Aryl-1-alkyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (29-35). To a solution of PybrOP $(1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 3 mL of dry DMF were added at room temperature compounds $\mathbf{2 8 a}, \mathbf{b}$ and diisopropylethylamine $(3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The preswollen resin $(0.75 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry DMF was treated with the above mixture at room temperature for 3 h , and after this time, the resin was washed three times with dry DMF and three times with dichloromethane. The same activation procedure was repeated a second time. The appropriate amine ( 0.67 mmol ) dissolved in dry DMF was reacted with the poly-mer-bound activated ester for 24 h at room temperature. The supernatant was then separated from the resin by filtration, and the polymer beads were washed three times with dry DMF and three times with dichloromethane. The combined solutions were concentrated and the residue was purified either by crystallization or preparative TLC.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-2-methyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (29). Compound 29 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 6:4), yellow oil (140 mg, 36\%); IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 435\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-2-phenyl-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (30). Compound 30 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98:2), white solid (134 mg, $30 \%)$; mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 497\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(2-Phenylethyl)-2-methyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquin-oline-3-carboxamide (31). Compound 31 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 4:6), white oil ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ); IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 377\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ C, H, N.
(-)-N3-(1-Phenylethyl)-2-methyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-quinoline-3-carboxamide (32). Compound 32 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $6: 4)$, white oil ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=-90^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 377\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N3-(1-(2-Naphthyl)ethyl)-2-methyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-di-hydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (33). Compound 33 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $4: 6$ ), white oil ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-148^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LCMS (APCI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z 427\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N3-(1-(1-Naphthyl)ethyl)-2-methyl-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-di-hydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (34). Compound 34 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $4: 6$ ), white oil ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-175^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LCMS (APCI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z 427\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-Adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-2-phenyl-1,4-dihydroquino-line-3-carboxamide (35). Compound 35 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98:2), white solid (130 mg, 34\%); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 469\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis and Characterization of Intermediates 36, 37, and 41. The synthesis and characterization of intermediates 36, 37, and 41 are described in the Supporting Information.

General Procedure for the Preparation of $N$-(4-Oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-3-yl)-aryl-carboxamide (38-40). A mixture of selected carboxylic acid ( 5.00 mmol ) and thionyl chloride (10 mL ) was refluxed for 2 h . Excess of thionyl chloride was evaporated under reduced pressure, and dry toluene ( 20 mL ) was added (solution A). A mixture of amine $37(7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyldiisoproyl amine $(7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen was cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of freshly prepared acyl chloride (solution A) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The
residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogenocarbonate $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The concentrate was purified by flash chromatography (dichloromethane/ methanol $98: 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford the corresponding carboxamide derivatives 38-40.

Adamantane-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihyd-roquinolin-3-yl)amide (38). White solid ( $686 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 393\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-Oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-3-yl)-3-phenyl-propionamide (39). White solid ( $727 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ) ; mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 363\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

Naphthalene-1-carboxylic Acid (4-Oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihyd-roquinolin-3-yl)amide (40). White solid (1.07 g, 56\%); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 385\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 3-(Substituted-aminomethyl)-1-pentyl-1H-quinolin-4-one (42-44). A mixture of aldehyde $41(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry methanol $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen in the presence of $3 \AA$ molecular sieve. Selected amine ( 1.85 mmol ) and triethylamine $(0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. Then sodium cyanoborohydride $(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the stirring was continued at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The molecular sieve was eliminated by filtration, and the solvent was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (50 mL ) and washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogenocarbonate $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The concentrate was purified by flash-chromatography, using specified eluent, to afford the corresponding amines, which were isolated as hydrochloride except for compound (42).

3-((1-Adamantyl)aminomethyl)-1-pentyl-1H-quinolin-4-one (42). Compound 42 was purified by chromatography (dichloromethane/ methanol 9:1, v/v), white solid ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 379\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H, N.

1-Pentyl-(3-phenylethylaminomethyl)-1 H -quinolin-4-one $\mathbf{H y}$ drochloride (43). Compound 43 was purified by chromatography (dichloromethane/methanol 9:1, v/v), white solid (151 mg, 32\%); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ); LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 349\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )1-Pentyl-(3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthyl)aminomethyl)-1H-quinolin-4-one Hydrochloride (44). Compound 44 was purified by chromatography (dichloromethane/methanol 9:1, v/v), white solid ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ); LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 375\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-Pentyl-4-thioxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic Acid Ethyl Ester (45). A mixture of $\mathbf{8 a}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phosphorus pentasulfide $(3.09 \mathrm{~g}, 13.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ was refluxed for 12 $h$ in pyridine ( 40 mL ). After cooling, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was taken up in water and then extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, concentrated under reduced pressure, and finally purified by flash chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 9:1) to provide $1.98 \mathrm{~g}(94 \%)$ of compound 45 as a yellow oil; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

1-Pentyl-4-thioxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic Acid (46). A mixture of $\mathbf{4 5}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ and lithium hydroxide (1.10 $\mathrm{g}, 26.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred $\left(\mathrm{RT}, \mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ in a mixture of tetrahydrofuran/ water 50:50 (100 mL). Tetrahydrofuran was removed under reduced pressure. The solution was adjusted to pH 4 with aqueous $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water, and recrystallized from diisopropyl ether to afford $1.50 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of carboxylic acid 46 as a yellow solid; mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ).

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-1-pentyl-4-thioxo-1,4-dihy-droquinoline-3-carboxamide (47). This compound was obtained using the same methodology previously described for 1-pentyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (10-25). Purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 8:2), white solid ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 437\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis and Characterization of Intermediates 48a-c, 49ac, $50 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$, and $51 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$. The synthesis and characterization of intermediates $\mathbf{4 8} \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{4 9} \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{5 0 a}-\mathbf{c}$, and 51a-c are described in the Supporting Information.

General Procedure for the Preparation of N3-Aryl-1-alkyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (52-58). These compounds were obtained using the same methodology previously described for 1-pentyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (10-25).

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-6-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (52). Compound 52 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white solid (167 mg, 55\%); mp ; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 456\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-7-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (53). Compound 53 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white solid ( $219 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ); mp ; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 456\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-8-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (54). Compound $\mathbf{5 4}$ was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white solid ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ); mp ; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 456\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-N3-(1-(1-Adamantyl)ethyl)-6-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (55). Compound 55 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white solid ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+0^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LCMS (APCI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z 456\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N3-(1-(1-Adamantyl)ethyl)-6-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (59). Compound 59 was prepared by chiral preparative HPLC (stationary phase: Chiralpak AD $(20 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; mobile phase: $n$-hexane/propan-2-ol, $92 / 8$, v/v; separation yield: $94 \%$ ), white solid ( 235 mg ) ; mp; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-101^{\circ}(c=0.01$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 422\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$.
(+)-N3-(1-(1-Adamantyl)ethyl)-6-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (60). Prepared by chiral preparative HPLC (stationary phase: Chiralpak AD $(20 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; mobile phase: $n$-hexane/propan-2-ol, $92 / 8$, v/v; separation yield: $91 \%$ ), white solid (227 mg); mp; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+101^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 422\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$.
( $\pm$ )-N3-(1-(1-Adamantyl)ethyl)-7-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (56). Compound 56 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white solid ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+0^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LCMS (APCI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z 456\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N3-(1-(1-Adamantyl)ethyl)-7-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (61). Prepared by chiral preparative HPLC (stationary phase: Chiralpak AD $(20 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; mobile phase: $n$-hexane/propan-2-ol, $90 / 10$, v/v; separation yield: $96 \%$ ), white solid $(240 \mathrm{mg}) ; \mathrm{mp} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-95^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 422\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$.
(+)-N3-(1-(1-Adamantyl)ethyl)-7-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (62). Compound 62 was prepared by chiral preparative HPLC (stationary phase: Chiralpak AD $(20 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; mobile phase: $n$-hexane/propan-2-ol, $90 / 10$, v/v; separation yield: $93 \%$ ), white solid ( 232 mg ); $\mathrm{mp} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+95^{\circ}(c=$ $0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 422$ $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$.
( $\pm$ )-N3-(1-(1,2,3,4-Tetrahydronaphthyl))-6-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (57). Compound 57 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white solid (226 mg, 80\%); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+0^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} ; \mathrm{IR} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 423\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(+)-N3-(1-(1,2,3,4-Tetrahydronaphthyl))-6-chloro-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxamide (58). Purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3), white solid ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+2.0^{\circ}\left(c=0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp} ; \mathrm{IR} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS (APCI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z 423\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis and Characterization of Intermediates 63a,b, 64a,b, 65a,b, 66a,b, 69, and 70. The synthesis and characterization of intermediates $\mathbf{6 3 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{6 4 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{6 5 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{6 6 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{6 9}$, and 70 are described in the Supporting Information.

General Procedure for the Preparation of N3-Aryl-1-alkyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydronaphthyridine-3-carboxamide (67, 68, and 71). These compounds were obtained using the same methodology previously described for 1-pentyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3carboxamide (10-25).

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-[1,5]-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (67). Purified by TLC (dichloromethane), white solid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS (APCI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z 422\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-[1,6]-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (68). Compound 68 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane), white solid ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ); mp ; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z, 422\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-[1,8]-naphthyridine-3-carboxamide (71). Compound 71 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane), white solid ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z, 422\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Diethyl 2-(Phenylhydrazono)malonate (72). Aniline (9.78 mL, 107.37 mmol ) was added to aqueous $\mathrm{HCl} 37 \%$ ( 23.30 mL ). The resulting aniline hydrochloride solution was cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then a solution of sodium nitrite $(7.58 \mathrm{~g}, 109.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 30 mL ) was added keeping the reaction temperature below $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Because the reaction was exothermic, the addition has to proceed very slowly under rigorous cooling. The resulting orange solution was added to a solution consisting of sodium acetate and diethyl malonate. The latter solution was freshly prepared by dissolution of sodium acetate $(20.19 \mathrm{~g}, 246.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then diethyl malonate ( $16.68 \mathrm{~mL}, 109.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ethanol $(215 \mathrm{~mL})$. Both solutions were combined and brought to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which is accompanied by some precipitations. To the resulting slurry stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was slowly added the previously prepared cold solution of phenyl diazonium chloride. After this addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and stirred for 5 h . After keeping the mixture at $-13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, a white inorganic solid and the crude product (dark red oil) precipitated. The solid was removed by filtration. The filtrate was evaporated to give a red, viscous oil, which was taken up in ethyl acetate and extracted twice with water. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, evaporated under reduce pressure, and finally purified by flash chromatography (dichloromethane) the afford $26.95 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%)$ of compound 72 as an orange oil: IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.

2-(Phenylhydrazono)malonic Acid (73). To diethyl 2-(phenylhydrazono)malonate $72(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 18.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in refluxing ethyl alcohol $(95 \%, 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise aqueous sodium hydroxide ( $2 \mathrm{~N}, 21 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting mixture was heated to reflux for 30 min , then allowed to reach room temperature and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting concentrate was precipitated into aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water, and dried in vacuo over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to afford $3.19 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%)$ of 73 as a yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} ; \mathrm{IR} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ).

4-Oxo-1,4-dihydrocinnoline-3-carboxylic Acid (74). To 2-(phenylhydrazono)malonic acid $73(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ suspended in 1,2-dichlorobenzene ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise a solution of thionyl chloride ( 2 mL ) in 1,2-dichlorobenzene ( 10 mL ). The resulting mixture was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The excess of thionyl chloride was distilled off under ambient pressure. To this solution
was added a solution of titanium tetrachloride ( 2 mL ) in 1,2dichlorobenzene ( 30 mL ) within 15 min . The reaction suspension was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h . Subsequently, the excess of titanium tetrachloride and then the 1,2-dichlorobenzene were evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting brown solid was extracted several times with small portions of boiling hot aqueous sodium hydroxide ( 4 M , total volume: 30 mL ). The resulting suspension was passed through cellite. The product precipitated into concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(37 \%, 150 \mathrm{~mL})$ while being agitated. The resulting solid was filtered off and then dried under reduced pressure over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, offering $1.19 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%)$ of 74 as a brown solid: mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ).

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydrocinnoline-3-carboxamide (75). To a stirred solution of carboxylic acid 74 $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added diisopropylethyl amine ( $1.83 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 min before adding HBTU (1.49 $\mathrm{g}, 3.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirring for 3 more hours. 1-Amino-3,5dimethyladamantane ( $0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 3.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added, and the solution was stirred for 24 h . DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and successively washed with aqueous saturated sodium bicarbonate, water, and brine. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, evaporated, and finally purified by flash chromatography using dichloromethane/methanol 98:2 (v/v) as eluent, yielding 0.63 g ( $68 \%$ ) of 75 as an orange solid: $\mathrm{mp} ; \mathrm{IR} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ).

N3-(1-(3,5-Dimethyl)adamantyl)-4-oxo-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydro-cinnoline-3-carboxamide (76). This compound was obtained using the same methodology previously described for 1-pentyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl esters $(\mathbf{8} \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{i})$, purified by TLC, eluting from cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 6:4 (v/v), white solid ( $775 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ); mp $121-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 422\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ C, H, N.

Synthesis and Characterization of 77a-g, 78a-g, and 79a$\mathbf{g}$. The synthesis and characterization of $\mathbf{7 7} \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{g}, 78 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{g}$, and 79a-g are reported in the Supporting Information.

General Procedure for the Preparation of $N$-Alkyl-3-aroyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4-one ( $80-98$ ). These compounds were obtained using the same methodology previously described for 1-pentyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl esters ( $8 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{i}$ ).

1-Butyl-3-(naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4one (80). Compound 80 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/ methanol 98:2, v/v), white solid ( $490 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ) ; mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 356\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

3-(Naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4one (81). Compound 81 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $7: 3, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), white solid ( $1155 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 370\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

1-Hexyl-3-(naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4one (82). Compound 82 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/ methanol 98:2, v/v), white solid ( $917 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 384\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

1-Benzyl-3-(naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4one (83). Compound 83 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/ methanol 98:2, v/v), white solid ( $358 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 390\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-3-(naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1,4-dihydro-quinolin-4-one (84). Compound 84 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98:2, v/v), white solid ( $1105 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z 408\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FNO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-3-(naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1,4-dihydro-quinolin-4-one (85). Compound 85 was purified by TLC (dichlo-
romethane/methanol 98:2, v/v), white solid ( $1267 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 424\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(4-Bromobenzyl)-3-(naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1,4-dihydro-quinolin-4-one (86). Compound 86 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98:2, v/v), white solid ( $1400 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 469\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(Naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1-phenylethyl-1,4-dihydroquino-lin-4-one (87). Compound 87 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol $98: 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), white solid ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ); mp ; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 404\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(Naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1-phenylpropyl-1,4-dihydroquin-olin-4-one (88). Compound 88 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98:2, v/v), white solid ( $691 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 418\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(Naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1-(2-(cyclohexyl)ethyl)-1,4-dihy-droquinolin-4-one (89). Compound 89 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98:2, v/v), white solid (376 mg, 20\%); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 410\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(Naphthalene-1-carbonyl)-1-(2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl)-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4-one Hydrochloride (90). Compound 90 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 97:3, v/v), white solid (681 mg, 33\%); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $413\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(Naphthalene-2-carbonyl)-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4one (91). Compound 91 was purified by TLC (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $7: 3, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, white oil ( $681 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ) ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LCMS (APCI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z 370\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Benzoyl-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4-one (92). Compound 92 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 95:5, v/v), white oil ( $631 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ); IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right)$ $m / z .320\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-Butyl-3-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4-one (93). Compound 93 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98: 2, v/v), white oil ( $416 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ); IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 336\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4-one (94). Compound 94 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98: 2, v/v), white oil ( $562 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ); IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 350\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-Hexyl-3-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4-one (95). Compound 95 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98: 2, v/v), white oil ( $769 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ); IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ;$ LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 364\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(Benzo[1,3]dioxole-5-carbonyl)-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquino-lin-4-one (96). Compound 96 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 95:5, v/v), white oil ( $417 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ); IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 364\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-((6-Methoxy)naphthalene-2-carbonyl)-1-pentyl-1,4-dihyd-roquinolin-4-one (97). Compound 97 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/methanol 98:2, v/v), white oil (459 mg, 25\%); IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 400\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(Anthracene-9-carbonyl)-1-pentyl-1,4-dihydroquinolin-4one (98). Compound 98 was purified by TLC (dichloromethane/ methanol 98:2, v/v), yellow solid ( $482 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ); mp; IR; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) m / z 420\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

Pharmacology. Fatty acid free bovine serum albumin (BSA) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Compound 4 was purchased from RBI (Natick, MA), 2, HU-210, and CP-55,940 (5) were acquired from Tocris (Bristol, U.K.). SR141716A and 1 were kindly donated by Sanofi Recherche (Montpellier, France).

Cell Culture and Preparation of $\boldsymbol{h} \mathrm{CB}_{1^{-}}$or $\boldsymbol{h} \mathrm{CB}_{2}$-Transfected CHO Cell Membranes. CHO cells stably transfected with the
cDNA sequences encoding either the human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ or the human $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptors were kindly provided by Dr. M. Detheux and Dr. P. Nokin, respectively (Euroscreen s.a., Gosselies, Belgium). Cell cultures and membrane preparation were reported previously. ${ }^{19}$

Competition Binding Assay. $[3 \mathrm{H}]$-SR-141716A ( $52 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) was purchased from Amersham (Roosendaal, The Netherlands) and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{CP}-55,940(101 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mol})$ from NEN Life Science (Zaventem, Belgium). Binding assay procedure was previously reported, ${ }^{19}$ under those conditions, using [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{SR}-141716 \mathrm{~A}$, the $B_{\max }$ value was 57 pmoles $/ \mathrm{mg}$ protein and the $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ value was $1.13 \pm 0.13 \mathrm{nM}$ for the $h \mathrm{CB}_{1}$ cannabinoid receptor, and using $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{CP}-55,940$, the $B_{\max }$ value was 194 pmoles $/ \mathrm{mg}$ protein and the $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ value was $4.3 \pm 0.13$ nM for the $h \mathrm{CB}_{2}$ cannabinoid receptor. The results are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM of at least three experiments performed in duplicate.
$\left[{ }^{35} \mathbf{S}\right] \mathbf{G T P} \gamma \mathbf{S}$ Assay. ${ }^{\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]-\mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathbf{S}(1173 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}) \text { was obtained }}$ from Amersham (Roosendaal, The Netherlands). The experiments were performed as previously described. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Gpp}(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{p} 100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was used to determine the nonspecific binding. The results are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM of at least three experiments performed in duplicate and are reported for a concentration of ligand of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
Data Analysis. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined by nonlinear regression analysis performed using the GraphPad prism 4.0 program (GraphPad Software, San Diego). The $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were calculated from the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, based on the Cheng-Prusoff equation: $K_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{IC}_{50} /\left(1+L / K_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$. The statistical significance of $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$-GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ assay results was assessed using a one-way ANOVA followed by a Dunett post-test.
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