# Susceptibility trends in pneumonia pathogens and current prescribing.

Paul M. Tulkens, MD, PhD



Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology & Center for Clinical Pharmacy Louvain Drug Research Institute, Université catholique de Louvain Brussels, Belgium





Session: Optimising diagnosis and appropriate antibiotic prescribing in pneumonia



With approval of the Belgian Common Ethical Healthplatform – visa no. V1/14/04/30/060865

## **Disclosures**

### Financial support from

- the Belgian *Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique* for basic research on pharmacology antibiotics and related topics
- Université catholique de Louvain for past personal support
- Commercial Relationships:
  - AstraZeneca, GSK, Sanofi-Aventis, Bayer HealthCare, Cempra Pharmaceuticals, The Medicines Company, Northern Antibiotics, RibX, Cubist, Galapagos, ...
- Other relationships in relation to this talk
  - Belgian Antibiotic Policy Coordination Committee,
  - European Medicines Agency (as expert for the agency and for Industry)

### Slides: http://www.facm.ucl.ac.be → Lectures

# Do we have a problem ?

### Obituary J.-M. Ghuysen



#### This man discovered the mode of action of penicillin

Ann. Rev. Biochem. 1979. 48:73-101 Copyright © 1979 by Annual Reviews Inc. All rights reserved

### USE OF MODEL ENZYMES IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE MODE OF ACTION OF PENICILLINS AND $\Delta^3$ -CEPHALOSPORINS<sup>1</sup>

Jean-Marie Ghuysen, Jean-Marie Frère, Mélina Leyh-Bouille, Jacques Coyette, Jean Dusart, and Martine Nguyen-Distèche

Service de Microbiologie, Faculté de Médecine, Institut de Botanique, Université de Liège, 4000 Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium

### and died from invasive pneumococcal infection ...

http://www.cip.ulg.ac.be/newsite/pdf/jmghuysen.pdf

# Which problem ?

- Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP):
  - remains a major acute cause of death ( $6^{th}$  in patients > 65 y);
  - Streptococcus pneumoniae is the most commonly identified pathogen, but other bacteria may be critical in specific environments (the causative organisms remains, however, unidentified in 30% to 50% of cases)
  - Resistance to "older" antibiotics is growing ...
- Hospital/Health Care-acquired/Ventilator associated pneumonia (HCAP/HAP/VAP)
  - 2nd most frequent acquired infection in the hospital
  - carries a still higher mortality burden (13-55 %)
  - can be caused by a larger variety of organisms highly influenced by prior exposure to antibiotics, type of patient and comorbidities
  - Enteric Gram (-), S. aureus, and P. aeruginosa are predominant with resistance increasing if late onset (hospital strains)

Infectious Diseases (Cohen, Opal & Powderly, eds), 3d edition, Elsevier 2010,

- Niederman M.: Community-acquired pneumonia (chapter 27)
- Papazian L & Donati SY: Hospital-acquired pneumonia (chapter 28) available on line at <a href="http://www.expertconsultbook.com">http://www.expertconsultbook.com</a> (last access: 18-03-2014)

# BUT...

- Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP):
  - remains a major acute cause of death (6<sup>th</sup> in patients > 65 y);
  - Those categorical differences
- are now blurring...
  - 2d most frequent acquired infection in the hospital
  - carries a still higher mortality burden (13-55 %)
  - can be caused by a larger variety of organisms highly influenced by prior exposure to antibiotics, type of patient and comorbidities
  - Enteric Gram (-), S. aureus, and P. aeruginosa are predominant with resistance increasing if late onset (hospital strains)

Woodhead M. *Thorax*. 2013;68:985-6. Quartin AA, *et al. BMC Infectious Diseases*. 2013,13:561

### A quick survey of the main (common) bacterial causative organisms

CAP and HCAP	
Outpatient, no sigificant comorbidity	Streptococcus pneumoniae Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Chlamydophila pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Legionella spp., Mycobacterium tuberculosis, endemic fungi)
Outpatient, comorbities or HCAP with no resistance risk factors	Drug resistant <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> (DRSP) Enteric Gram-negative; anaerobes (with aspiration)
Inpatient, with comobidities or HCAP with no resistance risk factors	Streptococcus pneumoniae (including DRSP), Haemophilus influenzae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, C. pneumoniae, Legionella spp. Enteric Gram-negatives, anaerobes, others
Severe CAP, with no risks for <i>Pseudomonas</i> aeruginosa	Streptococcus pneumoniae (including DRSP), Haemophilus influenzae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Legionella spp., Staphylococcus aureus Gram-negative bacilli, others
Severe CAP, with risks for <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , or HCAP with resistance risk factors	All of the above pathogens, plus <i>P. aeruginosa</i>
	Infectious Diseases (Cohen, Opal & Powderly, eds), 3d edition, Elsevier 2010.

Niederman M.: Commity-acquired pneumonia (chapter 27) )

available on line at <a href="http://www.expertconsultbook.com">http://www.expertconsultbook.com</a>)

### A quick survey of the main (common) bacterial causative organisms

НАР	
Early pneumonia	Streptococcus pneumoniae Haemophilus influenzae, Methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) Escherichia coli and non-resistant EGNB,
Late pneumonia	Pseudomonas aeruginosa Acinetobacter spp., Antibiotic-resistant Enterobacteriaceae, Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)
Other situations	Coagulase-negative staphylococci
	Neisseria spp., Moraxella spp. Enterobacter spp., Proteus spp. Burkholderia cepacia Acinetobacter spp. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia
	Anaerobes ( <i>Peptostreptococcus</i> , Veillonelia, <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. <i>Fusobacterium</i> spp., <i>Prevotella</i> spp., <i>Actinomyces</i> spp.
	Intracellular (Legionella spp. Chlamydia pneumoniae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae)

Infectious Diseases (Cohen, Opal & Powderly, eds), 3d edition, Elsevier 2010,

• Papazian L & Donati SY: Hospital-acquired pneumonia (chapter 28)

available on line at <a href="http://www.expertconsultbook.com">http://www.expertconsultbook.com</a> (last access: 18-03-2014)

# What is my goal ?

- Discuss with you the trends of resistance of some of these organisms
- how it may impact on you prescription habits...
- leaving to the next speakers the discussion of guidelines ...



# Streptococcus pneumoniae

**REVIEW ARTICLE** 

Drugs 2007; 67 (16): 2355-2382 0012-6667/07/0016-2355/\$49.95/0

© 2007 Adis Data Information BV. All rights reserved.

### **Multidrug-Resistant** Streptococcus pneumoniae Infections Current and Future Therapeutic Options

Françoise Van Bambeke,<sup>1</sup> René R. Reinert,<sup>2</sup> Peter C. Appelbaum,<sup>3</sup> Paul M. Tulkens<sup>1</sup> and Willy E. Peetermans<sup>4</sup>

- 1 Unité de Pharmacologie Cellulaire et Moléculaire, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium
- 2 Institute for Medical Microbiology, National Reference Center for Streptococci, University Hospital (RWTH), Aachen, Germany
- 3 Department of Pathology, Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania, USA
- 4 Department of Internal Medicine-Infectious Diseases, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, University Hospital Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium



Colonies of S. pneumoniae CDC Public Health Image Library http://phil.cdc.gov/phil

# Streptococcus pneumoniae: main mechanisms of resistance

Antibiotic class	Mechanism	Genetic support	Drugs affected	Consequence
β-lactams	Affinity of PNP1a, PBP2x and PBP2b	mosaic genes	all (variable extent)	Susceptibility
Macrolides	Methylation of 23S rRNA	erm(B)	all except ketolides unless multiple mutations	full resistance
	active efflux	mef(A)	14- and 15- membered ring	moderate (?) resistance
Fluoroquinolones	Affinity to DNA- gyrase/topisomer- ase complex	point mutations	all (variable extent)	full resistance if several mutations
	active efflux	(pmrA) patA-patB	gatifloxacin, gemifloxacin <sup>1</sup>	Susceptibility
Tetracyclines	ribosomal protection	tet(A), tet(O)	all except glycylcyclines	Full resistance
Sulfonamides	of inhibition of dyhydropteroate synthase	repetition of codons for aminoacids	all	Full resistance
<sup>1</sup> also norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin (not recommended)				

Adapted from Van Bambeke, et al. Drugs. 2007;67:2355-82

See also Lismond, et al. JAC. 2011;66:948-51, Lismond, et al. Intern J Antimicrob Ag. 2012;39:208-16

### Resistance of S. pneumoniae to penicillins \*

\*Analysis of resistance to penicillins (with CAP as main indication) in surveillance systems or publications (*S. pneumoniae*)

- EARSS: European Antimicrobial Surveillance system
- **TRUST**: Tracking Resistance in the United States Today
- **GLOBAL**: Global Landscape On the Bactericidal Activity of Levofloxacin
- ECCMID: abstracts of the 18-20th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases

#### Most studies used CLSI (non-meningitis) breakpoints



CAP: community acquired pneumonia

CLSI: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (http://clsi.org)

## But which breakpoints do we need to use ?

To be honest, I always wondered ...





MIC minimum inhibitory concentration CAP community-acquired pneumonia COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease



EUCAST: European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (<u>http://www.eucast.org</u>) MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration CAP: community-acquired pneumonia COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Tulkens, unpublished

12/05/2014



MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration CAP: community-acquired pneumonia

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary dosease

Tulkens, unpublished



12/05/2014

### Warning about breakpoints (EUCAST vs. CLSI) for S. pneumoniae (non meningitis)

- With the [new] CLSI breakpoint (MIC ≥ 8 mg/L), very few isolates will be defined as resistant....
- In fact, most experts believe that CAP caused by organisms with a penicillin MIC of 4 mg/L or higher (still an uncommon finding), can lead to an increased risk of death.<sup>1</sup>
- For that reason, Europe has set its "R" breakpoint at > 2 mg/L.<sup>2</sup>
- Dosage adaptation over the original 250 mg BID is necessary for isolates with MIC between 0.25 and 2 mg/L
   (→ 0.5 g TID, 1 g TID, or extended-release forms ...)

CLSI: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute EUCAST: European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration CAP: community acquired pneumonia R: resistance BID: twice daily; TID: 3 times daily

- 1. Feikin DR, et al. Am J Public Health 2000;90(2):223-9.
- EUCAST clinical breakpoints (<u>http://www.eucast.org</u>) (accessed 20/04/2014)

### Resistance of S. pneumoniae to macrolides and tetracyclines \*

\*analysis of resistance to erythromycin and doxycycline (with CAP as main indication) in surveillance systems or publications (*S. pneumoniae*)

- EARSS: European Antimicrobial Surveillance system
- **PROTEKT**: Prospective Resistant Organism Tracking and Epidemiology for the Ketolide Telithromycin
- **TRUST**: Tracking Resistance in the United States Today
- GLOBAL: Global Landscape On the Bactericidal Activity of Levofloxacin
- Riedel: Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2007 Jul;26(7):485-90.
- ECCMID: abstracts of the 18th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases

#### Most studies used CLSI breakpoints

- erythromycin:  $S \le 0.25 R \ge 1$
- Doxycycline: S ≤0.25 R ≥1

Lismond et al., in preparation

#### CAP: community-acquired pneumonia





### **Resistance of S. pneumoniae to fluroquinolones**



Lismond et al., in preparation

#### CAP: community-acquired pneumonia

### Moxifloxacin MIC's against *S. pneumoniae* in Belgium from 1999 to 2008





### **Resistance of S.** *pneumoniae* to fluroquinolones

- The situation may be different in other countries (Asia)
  - 4% resistance to levofloxacin for PNRSP in China <sup>1</sup>
  - 8.6 % (6/70) in adults in China <sup>2</sup>
  - 4.7 % in Asian Countries (all cases from Korea, Hong-Kong, Taiwan) in association with previous treatment with fluoroquinolones, cerebrovascular disease, and healthcareassociated infection <sup>3</sup>

- 1. Jones RN, et al. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2013;77:258-66
- 2. Guo Q, et al. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2014;33:465-70
- 3. Kang CI, et al. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;33:55-9

### **Resistance of S. pneumoniae to fluroquinolones**

- The situation may be different in other countries (Asia)
  - 4% resistance to levofloxacin for PNRSP in China <sup>1</sup>
  - 8.6 % (6/70) in adults in China <sup>2</sup>
  - 4.7 % in Asian Contries (all cases from Korea Hond-Kond Taiwan) in a fluoroquinolo associated ii
     Table 2 Independent risk factors associated with pneumonia caused by levofloxacin-nonsusceptible *S. pneumoniae* Variables
     Adjusted OR (95 % CI) *P*

variables	Adjusted OK (95 % CI)	Ρ	
Previous treatment with fluoroquinolone	3.22 (1.05–9.85)	0.041	
Cerebrovascular disease	2.88 (1.36-6.06)	0.005	
Healthcare-associated infection	2.28 (1.14-4.55)	0.019	
Kang et al. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;33:55-9			

1. Jones RN, et al. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2013;77:258-66

2. Guo Q, et al. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2014;33:465-70

3. Kang Cl, et al. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;33:55-9



Waites & Talkington, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2004;17:697-728

### must be recognized as a real potential pathogen if performing active surveillance

CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY REVIEWS, Oct. 2004, p. 697–728 0893-8512/04/\$08.00+0 DOI: 10.1128/CMR.17.4.697–728.2004 Copyright © 2004, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

Vol. 17, No. 4

#### Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Its Role as a Human Pathogen

Ken B. Waites<sup>1\*</sup> and Deborah F. Talkington<sup>2</sup>

Department of Pathology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama 35249,<sup>1</sup> and Division of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia 30333<sup>2</sup>



Waites & Talkington, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2004;17:697-728

### must be recognized as a real potential pathogen if performing active surveillance





Waites & Talkington, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2004;17:697-728

- was long considered as universally susceptible to macrolides...
- but this was no longer true in Asia since several years ...

Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57:4046-9.

Nationwide Surveillance of Macrolide-Resistant *Mycoplasma* pneumoniae Infection in Pediatric Patients

Yasuhiro Kawai,<sup>a</sup> Naoyuki Miyashita,<sup>b</sup> Mika Kubo,<sup>a</sup> Hiroto Akaike,<sup>a</sup> Atsushi Kato,<sup>a</sup> Yoko Nishizawa,<sup>a</sup> Aki Saito,<sup>a</sup> Eisuke Kondo,<sup>a</sup> Hideto Teranishi,<sup>a</sup> Tokio Wakabayashi,<sup>a</sup> Satoko Ogita,<sup>a</sup> Takaaki Tanaka,<sup>a</sup> Kozo Kawasaki,<sup>a</sup> Takashi Nakano,<sup>a</sup> Kihei Terada,<sup>a</sup> Kazunobu Ouchi<sup>a</sup>

Department of Pediatrics<sup>a</sup> and Department of Internal Medicine 1,<sup>b</sup> Kawasaki Medical School, Okayama, Japan

We conducted nationwide surveillance to investigate regional differences in macrolide-resistant (MR) *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* strains in Japan. The prevalence of MR *M. pneumoniae* in pediatric patients gradually increased between 2008 and 2012. Although regional differences were observed, high levels of MR genes were detected in all seven surveillance areas throughout Japan and ranged in prevalence from 50% to 93%. These regional differences were closely related to the previous administration of macrolides.

Waites & Talkington, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2004;17:697-728

- was long considered as universally susceptible to macrolides...
- but this was no lo



Antimicrob Agents Chemot

#### Nationwide Surveillance of Macrolide-R pneumoniae Infection in Pediatric Patie

Yasuhiro Kawai,<sup>a</sup> Naoyuki Miyashita,<sup>b</sup> Mika Kubo,<sup>a</sup> Hiroto Akaike,<sup>a</sup> Atsushi I Hideto Teranishi,<sup>a</sup> Tokio Wakabayashi,<sup>a</sup> Satoko Ogita,<sup>a</sup> Takaaki Tanaka,<sup>a</sup> Ko Kazunobu Ouchi<sup>a</sup>

Department of Pediatrics<sup>a</sup> and Department of Internal Medicine 1,<sup>b</sup> Kawasaki Medical School, C

We conducted nationwide surveillance to investigate regional differences in strains in Japan. The prevalence of MR *M. pneumoniae* in pediatric patients gional differences were observed, high levels of MR genes were detected in a prevalence from 50% to 93%. These regional differences were closely related



1 Year-by-year increases in the frequency of macrolide-resistant *Myco*plasma pneumoniae cases from 2008 to 2012.



Waites & Talkington, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2004;17:697-728

and Resistance is arriving in Europe



Juan de Dios Caballero,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Rosa del Campo,<sup>a,b,c</sup> María del Carmen Mafé,<sup>d</sup> María Gálvez,<sup>a</sup> Mario Rodríguez-Domínguez,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Rafael Cantón,<sup>a,b,c</sup> María Antonia Meseguer,<sup>a</sup> José Manuel Hermida<sup>d</sup>

Servicio de Microbiología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain<sup>a</sup>; Instituto Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain<sup>b</sup>; Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases (REIPI), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain<sup>c</sup>; Servicio de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain<sup>d</sup>

> A previously healthy 23-year-old Chinese who had been studying in Spain for 1 year but returned from a 1-month trip to China and Korea 13 days before the onset of symptoms.

# Haemophilus: is it important ?



http://www.pathologyoutlines.c om/topic/lymphnodeshinfluenz ae.html

- Haemophilus is often considered as a colonizer of the upper respiratory tract with risks only for patients with COPD
- However, in coinfection with a preceding viral infection, *Haemophilus* may easily colonize the lung, leading to lethal secondary bacterial pneumonia.
  - We may now understand the corresponding genetic background (e.g. overexpression of an anti-oxidant protein)<sup>1</sup>
- β-lactamase-negative ampicillin-resistant (BLNAR) Haemophilus may be on the rise in some regions in Europe (but not all)<sup>2</sup>
  - antibiotic discs may fail to fully separate between BLNAS and BLNAR populations<sup>3</sup>
  - the majority of invasive *H. influenzae* (including BLNAR) remain susceptible to thirdgeneration cephalosporins and fluroquinolones in Europe <sup>4</sup>
- Resistance of Haemphilus to fluroquinolones may be on the rise in Asia <sup>5</sup>
  - 1. Wong, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110:15413-8.
  - 2. Dabernat, et al. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012;31:2745-53 Geelen, et al. Scand J Infect Dis. 2013;45:606-11
  - 3. Garcia-Cobos, et al. JAC. 2013;68: 159-63
  - Garcia-Cobos, et al JAC. 2014;69:111-6 Puig, et al.. PLoS One. 2013;13-8:e82515
  - 5. Shoji, et al. J Infect Chemother. 2014;20:250-5

COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease BLNAR  $\beta$ -lactamase-negative ampicillin-resistant

BLNAS  $\beta$ -lactamase-negative ampicillin-sensitive

# Staphylococcus aureus



http://www.microbewo rld.org/index.php?opti on=com\_jlibrary&vie w=article&id=7611

- Nosocomial pneumonia involving hospital-acquired (HA)
   S. aureus is becoming increasingly frequent <sup>1,2</sup>
- In parallel, pneumonia caused by community-acquired (CA) MRSA while remaining rare in Europe<sup>2</sup> are becoming common in several other parts of the world including Asia <sup>3</sup>
- As many strains (even MSSA) produce toxins, they cause major tissue damage, and, hence a high mortality <sup>3,4,5</sup>
  - 1. Jones, Clin Infect Dis. 2010;51(suppl 1):S81-7
  - 2. Valour, et al Rev Pneumol Clin. 2013;69:368-82
  - 3. Karampela, et al. Minerva Anestesiol. 2012 Aug;78(8):930-40 Kang & Song. Infect Chemother. 2013;45:22-31
  - Papazian & Donati. Nosocomial pneumonia. *In* Infectious Diseases, 3rd Edition, Cohen, Powderly & Opal, eds. Elsevier (available on line at <u>http://www.expertconsultbook.com</u>; last visisted: 4 April 2014)
  - 5. Catena, et al Infez Med. 2012;20:205-10 /.

MRSA methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* MSSA methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus* aureus

### S. aureus







http://www.microbewo rld.org/index.php?opti on=com\_jlibrary&vie w=article&id=7611

### Nosocomial pneumonia involving hospital-acquired (HA)

# S. aureus In parallel (CA) MRS

in several

# As many s major tiss

1. Jones Clin Infect Dis 2

2. Karampela et al Minerv

3. Papazian & Donati Nos http://www.expertconsu Table 3. Regional Incidence of Pathogens Isolated from Patients Hospitalized withPneumonia in the Last 5 Years of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program(31,436 Cases).

	Incidence, %			
Pathogen	All regions	United States	Europe	Latin America
Staphylococcus aureus	28.0	36.3	23.0	20.1
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	21.8	19.7	20.8	28.2
Klebsiella species	9.8	8.5	10.1	12.1
Escherichia coli	6.9	4.6	10.1	5.5
Acinetobacter species	6.8	4.8	5.6	13.3
Enterobacter species	6.3	6.5	6.2	6.2
Serratia species	3.5	4.1	3.2	2.4
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	3.1	3.3	3.2	2.3
Streptococcus pneumoniae	2.9	2.5	3.6	2.4
Haemophilus influenzae	2.7	2.5	3.7	1.3

Jones Clin Infect Dis 2010;51(suppl 1):S81-7

## S. aureus



http://www.microbewo rld.org/index.php?opti on=com\_jlibrary&vie w=article&id=7611

- Nosocomial pne S. aureus is bee
- In parallel, pnet (CA) MRSA wh in several other
- As many strains major tissue da
  - 1. Jones Clin Infect Dis 2010;51(suppl 7
  - 2. Karampela et al Minerva Anestesiol.
  - 3. Papazian & Donati Nosocomial pneu http://www.expertconsultbook.com (L

Table 4. Frequency of Bacterial Pathogens Associated with Hospital-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia (HABP) and Ventilator-Associated Bacterial Pneumonia (VABP)

	Percentage of isolates (no)	
Organism	(n = 835)	VABP (n = 499)
MRSA	47.1 (48.6)	42.5 <b>(34.4)</b>
Pseudomonas species	18.4	21.2
Klebsiella species	7.1	8.4
Haemophilus species	5.6	12.2
Enterobacter species	4.3	5.6
Streptococcus pneumonaie	3.1	5.8
Acinetobacter species	2.0	3.0

**NOTE.** Data are from [2, 7]. Boldface indicates a significant change or difference in incidence compared with HABP. MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.

2013;69:368-82

ause

HABP: hospital-acquired bacterial pneumonia

VABP: ventilator-associated bacterial pneumonia

MRSA: methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus

Jones, et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;51(suppl 1):S81-7

### S. aureus



http://www.microbewo rld.org/index.php?opti on=com\_jlibrary&vie w=article&id=7611

Nosocom Le Infezioni in
 S. aureus
 Casi
 clinici

 In paralle (CA) MRS
 in several

 As many major tiss

1. Jones Clin Infect Dis

- 2. Karampela et al Mine
- Papazian & Donati No http://www.expertcons

Le Infezioni in Medicina, n. 3, 205-210, 2012

### Necrotizing pneumonia caused by Panton-Valentine leukocidin-producing methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA)

*Polmonite necrotizzante causata da* Staphylococcus aureus *meticillino sensibile produttore di leucocidina di Panton-Valentine (MSSA)* 

Vincenzo Catena<sup>1,2</sup>, Marco Baiocchi<sup>2</sup>, Paolo Lentini<sup>3</sup>, Luigi Badolati<sup>2</sup>, Monica Baccarin<sup>2</sup>, Daniele D. Del Monte<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Rubini<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Emergenza e Terapia Intensiva, U.L.S.S. 2, Feltre, Belluno, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Emergenza e Terapia Intensiva, Ospedale "San Bassiano", Bassano del Grappa, Vicenza, Italy;

<sup>3</sup>Dipartimento Nefrologia e Dialisi, Ospedale "S. Bassiano", Bassano del Grappa, Vicenza, Italy;

<sup>4</sup>Dipartimento Scienze Biomediche, Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

-82.





http://www.microbewo rld.org/index.php?opti on=com\_jlibrary&vie w=article&id=7611

- Nosocomial pne S. aureus is bec
- In parallel, pneu (CA) MRSA whi in several other
- As many strains major tissue dar

Jones Clin Infect Dis 2010;51(suppl 1)

Karampela et al Minerva Anestesiol. 2

Papazian & Donati Nosocomial pneum http://www.expertconsultbook.com (La

Figure 1 - Chest X-Ray at the admission.



Figure 2 - Chest CT scan at the admission: diffuse blateral alveolar inflitrates.





013;69:368-82.

use

Figure 3 - Chest CT scans during ICU stay: extensive bilateral pleural effusions diffuse bilateral alveolar infiltrates and nodular opacities with cavity forming consistent with necrotizing pneumonia.

Catena, et al. Infez Med. 2012;20:205-10

1.

2.

### **MRSA** in Asia

Prevalence of methicillin resistance among *S. aureus* isolates. Some Asian countries have shown the highest prevalence rates of MRSA



MRSA methicillin restistant Staphylococcus aureus

Kang & Song. Infect Chemother 2013;45:22-31

# S. aureus in Africa

- Very little is known about Africa !
- But data that are coming are challenging...

- **Huson** *et al.* Infection. 2014 Jan 25. [Epub ahead of print]
- high prevalence (20-28 %) of MRSA in urban hopitals (as opposed to rural) in Cameroon
- typical case of S. aureus pneumonia (strain resistant to penicillin, cloxacillin, ciprofloxacin, and erythromycin)



Fig. 1 a Chest X-ray at admission shows bilateral infiltrates and cavitary lesions. b Chest X-ray 6 days after admission demonstrates deterioration of cavitary lesions with air–fluid levels

MRSA: methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus
## **Anaerobes and lung diseases**



*B. fragilis* CDC Public Health Image Library http://phil.cdc.gov/phi

- Anaerobic bacteria are frequent in aspiration pneumonia and associated complications (aspiration pneumonitis, lung abscess, necrotizing pneumonia and empyema)<sup>1</sup>
- While microbiological documentation is difficult, failure to direct adequate therapy against anaerobes (if present) may lead to clinical failures <sup>2</sup>
- Treatment of anaerobic infection is complicated by the slow growth of these organisms, by the polymicrobial nature of the infections, and by the growing resistance of anaerobic bacteria to antimicrobials (see next slide but only very rare cases for metronidazole <sup>3</sup>)

- 1. Bartlett. Anaerobe. 2012;18:235-9
- 2. Brook. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2011;697:117-52 In N. Curtis et al. (eds.), Hot Topics in Infection and Immunity in Children VII, Springer.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2013;62:694-6.

# Anaerobes: resistance to other antibiotics than metronidazole

EU	Percent resista to antimicrobi	ince of <i>Bactero</i> al agents <sup>a,b</sup>	oides fragilis	grou	ıp isolates		
C A S	CLSI breakpoints MIC breakpoint (µg/ml)		% r	esistance to a	antimicrobi	al	
<b>⊤</b> ↓	Antimicrobial	Susceptible	Resistant		B. fragilis	B. <i>fragilis</i> group	
<b>≤4 &gt;8</b>	Ampicillin-sulbactam	≤8/4	≥32/16		2.8-11		
<b>≤4 &gt;8</b>	Amoxicillin-clavulanate	≤4/2	≥16/8		4–37	10–20	
<b>≤8 &gt;16</b>	Piperacillin-tazobactam	≤32/4	≥128/4		0–5	0–8	
NA	Cefoxitin	≤16	≥64		4–25	17–33	
≤ <b>1 &gt;</b> 1	Ertapenem	$\leq 4$	≥16		1.4–10		
<b>≤2 &gt;8</b>	Imipenem	$\leq 4$	≥16		0.3–7	<1-1	
<b>≤2 &gt;8</b>	Meropenem	<4	≥16		1.2-22		
≤1 >1	Doripenem	$\leq 4$	≥16		1.3-12		
≤4 >4	Clindamycin	≤2	≥8		10-42	32–52	
IE	Moxifloxacin	≤2	≥8		10–41	14–57	
no correl.	Tigecycline	≤4	≥16		2–11	2-13	

<sup>*a*</sup> Including intermediate-resistant strains. Metronidazole is not included since >99% of Gram-negative strains are susceptible.

Brook, *et al Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2013;26:526-46 see also: Goldstein & Citron *Clin Microbiol Newsl* 2011;33:1–14.

## Gram-negatives (beyond Haemophilus, Legionella, ...)

P.aeruginosa CDC Public Health Image Library http://ohil.cdc.gov/obil

- May coexist with Gram-positive organisms...
- But remain the primary causative pathogens of nosocomial pneumonia<sup>1</sup>
- Main organisms include Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Enterobacter species, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Acinetobacter baumannii<sup>2</sup>
- Inadequate initial therapy is unambiguously linked with increase mortality rate <sup>3</sup>
- Resistance rates vary very much from hospital to hospital and from ward to ward, but risk factors may be identified <sup>1</sup>:
  - hospitalization > 5 days, high resistance rates in the area or specific hospital,
  - immunosuppressive diseases and/or drugs, use of antibiotics in the last 90 days,
  - for health care-associated pneumonia: hospitalization for 2 days within 90 days, residence in a nursing home or extended care facility, home infusion therapy, chronic dialysis within 30 days, home wound care, or a family member with an MDR pathogen
    - 1. Arnold et al Intensive Care Med. 2010;25:259-70
    - 2. Boucher, et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48:1-12.
    - 3. Leibovici, *et al.* J Intern Med 1998;244:379-86 Ibrahim, *et al. Chest.* 2000; 118:146-55 Regui *et al* Chest. 2002;122:262-8 Micek *et al* AAC. 2005;1306-11.

MDR multi drug resistant

## **Gram-negatives**

(beyond Haemophilus, Legionella, ...)

### Resistance

- must be assessed locally \*
- often anticipated to be high \*
- could be influenced by previous treatments

\* may not be the case in all hospitals (Sivert, et al. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2013;34:1-14)

MIC of 5 antibiotics used in empiric antipseudomonal therapy towards initial *P. aeruginosa* isolates of ICU patients with suspected nosocomial infection in 5 hospitals in Belgium

- stratification between patients having either not received (no) or received (yes) the corresponding drug within 1 month prior to the collection of the isolate.
- the horizontal dotted lines are the corresponding S and R EUCAST breakpoints

ICU intensive care unit

Riou, et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010;36:513-22



International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 43 (2014) 328-334



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ijantimicag



CrossMark

Antimicrobial susceptibility of Gram-negative organisms isolated from patients hospitalised with pneumonia in US and European hospitals: Results from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program, 2009–2012

Helio S. Sader\*, David J. Farrell, Robert K. Flamm, Ronald N. Jones JMI Laboratories, 345 Beaver Kreek Center, Suite A, North Liberty, IA 52317, USA



International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 43 (2014) 328-334

Interna ELSEVIER journal H	Antimicrobial susceptibility of Gran from patients hospitalised with pre and the Mediterranean region (EMI	n-negative bact eumonia in US a R) medical cent	terial organisms isolate and Europe res (2009–2012).	d
Antimicrobial susceptibi from patients hospitalise	Organism/antimicrobial agent	rganism/antimicrobial agent %S/%R (no. tested) EUCAST <sup>a</sup>		
Program, 2009–2012				
Helio S. Sader*, David J. Farrell, JMI Laboratories, 345 Beaver Kreek Center, Suite A, No		USA	EMR	
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	(1439)	(1250)	
	TZP	72.9/27.1	63.9/36.1	
	Ceftazidime	79.6/20.4	68.7/31.3	
	Cefepime	80.4/19.6	72.1/27.9	
	Meropenem	76.3/9.0	65.8/14.4	
	Amikacin 🗌	92.2/3.8	82.8/11.2	
	Gentamicin	87.0/13.0	75.2/24.8	
	Tobramycin	91.7/8.3	76.9/23.1	
	Levofloxacin	59.1/29.5	53.8/36.6	
	Colistin	98.9/1.1	99.0/1.0	

TZP: piperacillin/tazobactam

Sader, et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2014;43:328-34

Interi ELSEVIER journal	Antimicrobial susceptibility of Gran from patients hospitalised with pne and the Mediterranean region (EMR	n-negative bacter umonia in US and ) medical centre	rial organisms isolated d Europe s (2009–2012).
Antimicrobial susceptil	Organism/antimicrobial agent	%S/%R (n	o. tested)
hospitals: Results from Program 2009–2012		EUCA	ST <sup>a</sup>
Helio S. Sader*, David J. Farrel		USA	EMR
	Klebsiella spp.	(666) <sup>b</sup>	(695) <sup>c</sup>
	TZP	77.6/15.6	64.7/29.4
	Ceftriaxone	81.4/18.2	67.6/31.7
	Ceftazidime	82.0/16.4	68.9/27.9
	Cefepime	83.8/13.2	71.7/24.6
	🗩 Meropenem	93.1/5.9	93.1/5.0
	Amikacin	90.8/8.0	89.0/5.2
	Gentamicin	89.3/9.0	81.2/17.7
	Levofloxacin	83.6/15.3	74.0/24.3
	Tigecycline <sup>d</sup>	93.5/2.1	94.4/0.7
	Colistin	97.3/2.7	96.4/3.6

anal Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 43 (2014) 228-234

TZP: piperacillin/tazobactam

Sader, et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2014;43:328–34

International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 43 (2014) 328-3

Internatio ELSEVIER journal home	Antimicrobial susceptibility of Gram-negative bacterial organisms isolated from patients hospitalised with pneumonia in US and Europe and the Mediterranean region (EMR) medical centres (2009–2012).			
Antimicrobial susceptibility	Organism/antimicrobial agent	%S/%R (n	o. tested)	
hospitals: Results from the S Program, 2009–2012		EUCA	<b>ST</b> <sup>a</sup>	
Helio S. Sader*, David J. Farrell, Robe JMI Laboratories, 345 Beaver Kreek Center, Suite A, North Libei	er o	USA	EMR	
	Escherichia coli	(375) 87.2/0.6	(705) 81 1/12 9	
	Ceftriaxone	83.7/16.0	81.8/17.7	
	Ceftazidime Cefepime	83.2/12.5 85.1/11.2	82.3/11.9 83.4/13.1	
	Meropenem Amikacin	99.5/0.0 97.9/0.5	100.0/0.0 95.7/1.4	
	Gentamicin Levofloxacin	81.6/15.8 61.1/38.9	84.0/15.0 67.1/32.8	
	Tigecycline <sup>d</sup> Colistin	100.0/0.0 99.7/0.3	99.9/0.0 99.9/0.1	

TZP: piperacillin/tazobactam

Sader, et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2014;43:328–34

Interi ELSEVIER journal	Antimicrobial susceptibility of Gran from patients hospitalised with pre and the Mediterranean region (EMI	n-negative bacte eumonia in US an R) medical centre	rial organisms i d Europe es (2009–2012).	solated
Antimicrobial susceptit	Organism/antimicrobial agent	%S/%R (r	io. tested)	-
from patients hospitalis hospitals: Results from		EUCA	<b>\ST</b> <sup>a</sup>	-
Program, 2009–2012 Helio S. Sader*, David J. Farrel		USA	EMR	-
JMI Laboratories, 345 Beaver Kreek Center, Suite A,	Enterobacter spp. TZP Ceftriaxone Ceftazidime Cefepime Meropenem Amikacin Gentamicin Levofloxacin Tigecycline <sup>d</sup> Colistin	(407) <sup>f</sup> 74.0/20.6 69.0/29.1 69.6/26.9 86.7/4.7 99.0/0.5 99.5/0.0 94.1/5.2 93.4/4.9 95.6/0.7 86.4/13.6	(330) <sup>g</sup> 67.0/28.2 60.6/35.8 61.2/32.7 85.5/4.8 99.1/0.3 97.6/1.5 92.7/6.4 89.7/8.2 96.4/1.2 85.0/15.0	<b>+</b>

TZP: piperacillin/tazobactam

Sader, et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2014;43:328-34

## The problem of multiresistance: *P.aeruginosa* as an example

Figure 3.25. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Percentage (%) of invasive isolates with combined resistance (resistance to three or more antimicrobial classes among piperacillin (±tazobactam), ceftazidime, fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides and carbapenems), by country, EU/EEA countries, 2012



European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in Europe 2012. Annual Report of the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net). Stockholm: ECDC; 2013. p.36

## **Emergence of** resistance during treatment

P. aeruginosa successive clonal isolates from the same patient (all patients treated with large doses of 1 to 3 antibiotics)

- D0: initial isolate DL: last isolate obtained
- individual values with geometric mean (95 % CI)
- S (lowest line) and R (highest line) EUCAST breakpoints
- \* p < 0.05 by paired t-test (twotailed) and Wilcoxon nonparametric test
- <sup>a</sup> p < 0.05 by Wilcoxon nonparametric test only

Note: stratification by time between D0 and DL gave no clue (too low numbers)



.

DL

DL

## **Emergence of resistance during treatment if persistent**

#### **Original Article**

http://dx.doi.org/10.3947/ic.2013.45.3.283 Infect Chemother 2013;45(3):283-291 pISSN 2093-2340 · eISSN 2092-6448 **1C** Infection & Chemotherapy

Correlations between Microbiological Outcomes and Clinical Responses in Patients with Severe Pneumonia

Sungmin Kiem<sup>1</sup>, and Jerome J. Schentag<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, Korea; <sup>2</sup>School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences The University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

- 3 clinical trials (US 1984-1993) with PK/PD optimized dosages
- 146 bacterial strains from 76 patients
- non-eradicated strains (71%) already had or developed resistance

Kiem & Schentag. Infect Chemother. 2013;45:283-91

# Emergence of resistance during treatment if persistent ore relapse

#### **Original Article**

http://dx.doi.org/10.3947/ic.2013.45.3.283 Infect Chemother 2013;45(3):283-291 pISSN 2093-2340 · eISSN 2092-6448 1C Infection & Chemotherapy

Correlations between Microbiological Outcomes and Clinical Responses in Patients with Severe Pneumonia

Sungmin Kiem<sup>1</sup>, and Jerome J. Schentag<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University College of Medicine, Busan, Korea;<sup>2</sup>School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences The University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

• 3 clinical trials (	(US – 1984-1993)
with PK/PD opti	mized dosages

- 146 bacterial strains from 76 patients
- non-eradicated strains (71%) already had or developed resistance

Microbiological outcomes	Susceptible	Resistant	Development of resistance	Total
<i>Enterobacter spp.</i> <sup>a</sup> Eradication Persistence Relapse Colonization	4 0 0 0	0 0 0 2	1 3 2 0	12 5 3 2 2
<i>Pseudomonas spp.</i> <sup>d</sup> Eradication Persistence Relapse Colonization	7 4 1 1	1 4 0 0	0 9 4 0	31 8 17 5 1

Kiem & Schentag. Infect Chemother. 2013;45:283-91

## What can we do?

- Carbapenems ... but may be a risk factor <sup>1</sup> for carbapenemase and neither is better <sup>2</sup>
- Ceftozolane may help for *P. aeruginosa* (with tazobactam) <sup>3</sup>
- Avibactam may restore susceptibility to ceftazidime to a high proportion of Gram-negatives including *P. aeruginosa*<sup>4</sup>
- Combining antibiotics (based on checker board <sup>5</sup>) or associating of glycopeptides with colistin for ≥ 5 days <sup>6</sup> could help
- Extended infusion (of cefepime) may improve mortality, and decrease mean length of stay and hospital costs <sup>7</sup>
- **Continuous infusion** may be a promising approach <sup>8</sup> ... but may not solve the problem of emergence of resistance... (see next slide)
- 1. Kim, et al. Diag Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;78:457–61
- 2. Luyt, et al. AAC. 2014;58:1372-80.
- 3. Zhanel, et al. Drugs. 2014;74:31-51
- 4. Flamm, *et al. JAC*. 2014; Advance Access Chalhoub et al ECCMID 2014; e-poster 440
- 5. Nakamura, et al. J Infect Chemother. 2014;20:266e269
- 6. Petrosillo, et al. AAC. 2014;58:851-8
- 7. Bauer. et al. AAC. 2013;57:2907-12
- 8. Van Herendael, *et al. Ann Intensive Care.* 2012;2:22 Dulhunty *et al. Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56:236-44

## **Bolus / Continuous infusion and resistance**



Felton et al Antmicrob Agents Chemother 2013;57:5811-5819

### Impact of Bolus Dosing versus Continuous Infusion of Piperacillin and Tazobactam on the Development of Antimicrobial Resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

T. W. Felton,<sup>a</sup> J. Goodwin,<sup>a,b</sup> L. O'Connor,<sup>a</sup> A. Sharp,<sup>a,b</sup> L. Gregson,<sup>a,b</sup> J. Livermore,<sup>a,b</sup> S. J. Howard,<sup>a,b</sup> M. N. Neely,<sup>c</sup> W. W. Hope<sup>a,b</sup>

The University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, NIHR Translational Research Facility in Respiratory Medicine, University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom<sup>a</sup>; Antimicrobial Pharmacodynamics and Therapeutics, Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom<sup>b</sup>; Laboratory of Applied Pharmacokinetics, University of Southern California, School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California, USA<sup>c</sup>

Felton et al Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013;57:5811-5819

## What do we need for efficacy ?



Felton et al Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013;57:5811-5819

## What do we need for suppression of resistance?



### Impact of Bolus Dosing and Tazobactam on the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

T. W. Felton,<sup>a</sup> J. Goodwin,<sup>a,b</sup> L. O'Connor,<sup>a</sup> A. The University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Hea

Manchester NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United K Pharmacology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United I Angeles, California, USA<sup>c</sup> TABLE 3  $C_{\min}$ /MIC ratios required to achieve stasis, 1-, 2-, and 3-log bacterial killing and suppression of emergence of resistance

	C <sub>min</sub> /MIC (mg/liter)				
	Bolus		Extended	infusion	
Bacterial density and status	Hollow fiber	Predicted plasma <sup>a</sup>	Hollow fiber	Predicted plasma <sup>a</sup>	
Low					
Bacterial stasis (total bacteria)	1.4	2.0	4.1	5.9	
1-log reduction in total CFU/ml	1.8	2.6	5.2	7.4	
2-log reduction in total CFU/ml	2.4	3.4	6.7	9.6	
3-log reduction in total CFU/ml	3.2	4.6	8.8	12.6	
Suppression of resistance	2.4	3.4	7.3	10.4	
High					
Bacterial stasis (total bacteria)	3.2	4.6	8.3	11.9	
<sup>a</sup> Protein binding is assumed to	be 30% (31).				

Felton et al Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013;57:5811-5819

# Key questions to ask when using guidelines in infectious diseases (with application to pneumonia)

- How sure are you of the diagnosis ?
- Which are the main pathogens ?
- What are their current resistance patterns and how can you avoid emergence of further resistance?



- How should the therapy be initiated (empiric vs. directed) ?
- Which level of adverse effects is acceptable ?
- Which patients do you mainly treat?
- Does cost matter?
- What are your real choices?

# What did I not speak about ... but should have done ... since it may impact your practice

- SCVs
- persisters and biofilms
- rapid diagnostic (including resistance phenotypes and mechanisms) and moving to personalized medicine
- TDM of  $\beta$ -lactams and fluoroquinolones
- new drugs
- pharmacoeconomy and approaches in case of limited resources...

. . . .

## Back-up

# EUCAST calculations of target attainment rate for amoxicillin against *S. pneumoniae*



\* for *f* T >MIC = 40%

By increasing the dose and multiplying the number of daily administration, you may cover bacteria with MIC up to 8 mg/L... but the total daily dose will be very high and

Graph prepared from data in http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST\_files/Rationale\_documents/Amoxicillin\_rationale\_Nov2010\_v\_1.0.pdf

## Are macrolides still useful ?

- not as only agents if resistance rates > 20 % <sup>1</sup>
- but if used in combination with  $\beta$ -lactams to
  - act againts organisms with low susceptibility to β-lactams (*Mycoplasma*, *Chlamydia*, *Legionella*)<sup>2</sup> when these are expected to be present and important (to be discussed)
  - to provide a so-called "antinflammatory activity" (highly discussed <sup>3</sup>, but possible development with non-antibiotic derivatives [see next slide]).

2 Baum: Mycoplasma and Ureaplasma / Stamm & Bateiger: Chlamydia and Chlamydophila /

3 Spagnolo, et al. Eur Respir J. 2013;42:239-51

<sup>1</sup> a value often considered as being a critical threshold in a context of empirical therapy (Limond, *et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2012;39:208-16)

Edelstein & Cianciotto: Legionella / In Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases, 7<sup>th</sup> edition available on line at <a href="https://expert.com/https://expert.com/">https://expert.com/https://expert.com/https://expert.com/</a> (accessed: 4 April 2014)

## Anti-inflammatory action of "macrolides" ?



### **RESEARCH PAPER**

### Azithromycin analogue CSY0073 attenuates lung inflammation induced by LPS challenge

V Balloy<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, A Deveaux<sup>1,2</sup>, D Lebeaux<sup>5</sup>, O Tabary<sup>1,2</sup>, P le Rouzic<sup>1,2</sup>, J M Ghigo<sup>5</sup>, P F Busson<sup>6,7</sup>, P Y Boëlle<sup>6,7</sup>, J Guez Guez<sup>8</sup>, U Hahn<sup>8</sup>, A Clement<sup>1,2,9</sup>, M Chignard<sup>3,4</sup>, H Corvol<sup>1,2,9</sup>, M Burnet<sup>8</sup> and L Guillot<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INSERM, UMR\_S 938, CDR Saint-Antoine, Paris, France, <sup>2</sup>Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR\_S 938, CDR Saint-Antoine, Paris, France, <sup>3</sup>Inserm U874, Paris, France, <sup>4</sup>Unité de défense Innée et Inflammation, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, <sup>5</sup>Unité de Génétique des Biofilms, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, <sup>6</sup>INSERM, UMR\_S 707, Paris, France, <sup>7</sup>Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR\_S 707, Paris, France, <sup>8</sup>Synovo, Tübingen, Germany, and <sup>9</sup>Pneumologie pédiatrique, APHP, Hôpital Trousseau, Paris, France DOI:10.1111/bph.12574 www.brjpharmacol.org

#### Correspondence

Loic Guillot, INSERM, UMR\_S 938, 34 rue Crozatier, 75012 Paris, France. E-mail: loic.guillot@inserm.fr

#### Keywords

macrolides; lung inflammation; cystic fibrosis; COPD

#### Received

5 November 2013 Revised 16 December 2013 Accepted 7 January 2014



# Global Resistance of *S. pneumoniae*: additional information

- Resistance to β-lactams and macrolides may be higher in children<sup>1</sup>
- Global resistance rates in Asia may be worse than currently reported
  - Erythromycin: > 70% of clinical isolates resistant<sup>2</sup>
  - High prevalence of penicillin resistance if using "old" CLSI or EUCAST breakpoints<sup>3</sup>

- 2. Jean & Hsueh. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2011;37:291-5 / Nickerson et al Lancet Infect Dis 2009;9:130-5.
- 3. Song, et al. Clin Infect Dis 1999;28:1206-11 / Song et al Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004;48:2101-7 / Mendes et al Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57:5721-6.

<sup>1.</sup> Diekema, et al. Int. JAC. 2002;20:412-8 / Brown & Farrell. JAC. 2004;54 Suppl 1:i23-9.2 / Hoban, et al. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 2005; 262-273 / Sanchez et al. Rev. Esp. Quimioter. 2007;20:421-8 / Lee et al Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2013;42:395-402.

# Global Resistance of *S. pneumoniae*: additional information

× 1

1.1.1.1.1.1

GIC	Organism (no. of strains tested)	d) MIC (µg/ml) %				S/% R <sup>a</sup>	
cur	and antimicrobial agent	50%	90%	Range	CLSI	EUCAST	
—	S. pneumoniae (42) <sup>e</sup>						
_	Penicillin <sup>f</sup>	≤0.06	4	≤0.06–8	76.2/4.8	66.7/23.8	
	Amoxicillin-clavulanate	≤1	8	≤1->8	76.2/21.4	_/	
	Ceftriaxone	≤0.06	8	≤0.06–8	78.6/14.3	66.7/14.3	
	Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	50.0/50.0	50.0/50.0	
	Erythromycin	1	>16	≤0.12->16	47.6/52.4	47.6/52.4	
	Levofloxacin	1	1	0.5-2	100.0/0.0	100.0/0.0	

- 1. Diekema, et al. Int. JAC. 2002;20:412-8 / Brown & Farrell. JAC. 2004;54 Suppl 1:i23-9.2 / Hoban, et al. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 2005; 262-273 / Sanchez et al. Rev. Esp. Quimioter. 2007;20:421-8 / Lee et al Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2013;42:395-402.
- 2. Jean & Hsueh. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2011;37:291-5 / Nickerson et al Lancet Infect Dis 2009;9:130-5.
- 3. Song, et al. Clin Infect Dis 1999;28:1206-11 / Song et al Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004;48:2101-7 / Mendes et al Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57:5721-6.

## **Resistance of S.** *pneumoniae* to fluoroquinolones

• Several countries noted no or little resistance over time if used appropriately even with relatively large use

### Example #1: Canada

**Table 1.** In vitro activities of fluoroquinolones against selected pathogens in the CANWARD study 2007–11 as well as prevalence of MDR isolates involving fluoroquinolone over time

			Year		
Organism/resistance phenotype	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
S. pneumoniae % R <sup>a</sup> levofloxacin (no. of isolates tested) % MDR <sup>b</sup> % MDR isolates resistant to levofloxacin	0.3 (591) 3.2 5.3	1.5 (514) 6.8 11.4	0 (129) 3.9 0	0.6 (168) 7.1 8.3	0 (138) 7.2 0

<sup>a</sup>R includes both intermediate and resistant isolates.

<sup>b</sup>MDR includes both intermediate and resistant isolates for the following agents for the following organisms: *E. coli, K. pneumoniae* and *E. cloacae* (ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, meropenem, piperacillin/tazobactam, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and gentamicin); *P. aeruginosa* (ciprofloxacin, ceftriazidime, meropenem, piperacillin/tazobactam and gentamicin); *S. aureus* (ciprofloxacin, clarithromycin, oxacillin and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole); and *S. pneumoniae* (levofloxacin, penicillin, clarithromycin and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole).

## **Resistance of S. pneumoniae to fluoroquinolones**

• Several countries noted no or little resistance over time if used appropriately even in relatively large use

Example #2: Belgium





Source: Belgian National Institute for Sickness and Invalidity Insurance:"*Tableaux de bord pharmaceutiques: Délivrances pharmaceutiques dans le secteur ambulant* – année 2012"

http://www.inami.be/drug/fr/statistics-scientific-information/pharmanet/pharmaceutical-tables/pdf/2012/tables2012.pdf Last accessed: 20/01/2014

# A comparison of three CAP guidelines separated by (some) water







Table 4. Recommended community-acquired pneumonia therapy and management from published international guidelines \*

	BTS guidelines [24]	ATS/IDSA guidelines [25]	ERS/ESCMID guidelines [26]
Low severity patients*	Use CURB65 score with clinical judgement	Use CURB65 or PSI score to guide Outpatient treatment	Use CRB65 to guide Outpatient treatment
	Treat with oral amoxicillin or (doxycycline or clarithromycin if hypersensitive).	Stratify by risk for drug resistant S. pneumoniae Low risk: Treat with macrolide or doxycycline High risk: Treat with respiratory fluoroquinolone or b-lactam+macrolide	Treat with one of: aminopenicillin ± macrolide Aminopenicillin/b-lactamase inhibitor ± macrolide Non-antipseudomonal cephalosporin Cefotaxime or ceftriaxone ± macrolide Levofloxacin
			Moxifloxacin Penicillin g ± macrolide

\*These are not necessarily the terms used in the guidelines but give a broad translation of what the guidelines state.

Khan & Woodhead, F1000 Prime Rep. 2013;;5:43 Free access: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3790563/pdf/medrep-05-43.pdf</u>

Note: *S. pneumoniae* is (probably) the most frequent isolated organism in CAP (~ 20 %), but others may need to be considered (*Mycoplasma pneumoniae* ~ 11 %; *Chlamydia pneumoniae* ~ 8.0 %; *Haemophilus influenzae* ~ 3.3 %), and ~ 50% of cases remain without successful isolation

(Woodhead. Eur Respir J 2002; 36:20s-27s)

## S. aureus in Asia: VISA and hVISA

- VRSA and true VISA are rare<sup>1</sup>
- hVISA phenotype is much more frequent
  - 1/3 of MRSA isolates in Korea and was independently associated with a vancomycin MIC ≥2 mg/L and rifampicin resistance<sup>2</sup>
- VISA and hVISA are associated with a longer period of prior glycopeptide use, bone/joint and prosthetic infections, and treatment failure<sup>3</sup>

hVISA heterogeneous vancomycin-intermediate-resistant S. aureus :

VISA: vancomycin-intermediate S. aureus

VRSA vancomycin-resistant S. aureus

MRSA methicillin-resistant S. aureus

- 1. Kang & Song. Infect Chemother. 2013;45:22-31
- 2. Park, et al. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67:1843-9
- 3. Fong, et al. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2009;28:983-7



Fong et al Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009;28:983-7.

4 Park et al J Antimicrob Chemother, 2012;67:1843-9.

Park, et al. JAC. 2012;67:1843-9

## S. aureus in Africa

- Very little is known about Africa !
- But data that are coming are challenging...

Infection DOI 10.1007/s15010-014-0589-1

REVIEW

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* as a cause of invasive infections in Central Africa: a case report and review of the literature

M. A. M. Huson · R. Kalkman · J. Remppis · J. O. Beyeme · C. Kraef · F. Schaumburg · A. S. Alabi · M. P. Grobusch

Infection. 2014 Jan 25. [Epub ahead of print]

## S. aureus in Africa

•	Very	lit
	Dut d	

But dat

Infec	tion
DOI	10.1007/s15010-014-0589-

#### REVIEW

### Methicillin-resis infections in Cei of the literature

M. A. M. Huson · R. Kall J. O. Beyeme · C. Kraef · A. S. Alabi · M. P. Grobu

#### Infection. 2014 Jan 2

Country <sup>a</sup>	References	Study period	Type of specimens	Setting	Total number of isolates screened	Total number of <i>S. aureus</i> isolates	MRSA isolates (%) <sup>b</sup>
Cameroon	Kesah et al. [30]	1996–1997	Clinical isolates: including surgical samples (swabs and pus), ear, nose, and throat samples, urine, sputum, cerebrospinal fluid, aspirates, and blood cultures	Urban, university hospital	Not indicated	127	27 (21.3)
	Breurec et al. [31]	January 2007– March 2008	Clinical isolates from patients with suspected staphylococcal infection	Urban, university hospital	Not indicated	32	9 (28.1)
Gabon	Schaumburg et al. [33]	2008-2010	Healthy volunteers: isolates from anterior nares, the axilla, and groin	Semi-urban	Not indicated	163	6 (3.7)
			Clinical isolates: mainly wound infection, bacteremia, and abscesses	Semi-urban, regional referral hospital	Not indicated	54	6 (11.1)
	Schaumburg et al. [36]	2009	Healthy volunteers: nasal carriage	Remote rural	100	34	0
	Ateba Ngoa et al. [32]	February– July 2009	Asymptomatic volunteers <sup>c</sup> : isolates from anterior nares, the axilla, and groin	Rural and semi- urban	500	146	5 (3.4)
	Alabi et al. [34]	January 2009– September 2012	Clinical isolates: mainly bloodstream, ear-eye- nose-throat, and skin and soft tissue infection	Semi-urban, regional referral hospital	Not indicated	328	19 (5.8)
	Schaumburg et al. [35]	March 2010– January 2013	Healthy mothers (nares, mamillae) and infants (nares, throat)	Rural	Not indicated	474	9 (1.9)

<sup>b</sup> Percentage of the total *S. aureus* isolates

<sup>c</sup> 198 inpatients asymptomatic for S. aureus-related disease and 302 healthy volunteers

## S. aureus in Africa

- Very little is known about Afric
- But data that are coming are

Infection DOI 10.1007/s15010-014-0589-1

#### REVIEW

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* as a c infections in Central Africa: a case report and re of the literature

M. A. M. Huson · R. Kalkman · J. Remppis · J. O. Beyeme · C. Kraef · F. Schaumburg · A. S. Alabi · M. P. Grobusch

Infection. 2014 Jan 25. [Epub ahead of print]



- resistant to penicillin, cloxacillin, ciprofloxacin, and erythromycin,
- sensitive to gentamicin, clindamycin, trimethoprim– sulfamethoxazole and rifampicin.



Fig. 1 a Chest X-ray at admission shows bilateral infiltrates and cavitary lesions. b Chest X-ray 6 days after admission demonstrates deterioration of cavitary lesions with air-fluid levels

## **Anaerobes and pneumonia**

Anaerobe 18 (2012) 235-239



Clinical microbiology

### Anaerobic bacterial infection of the lung

John G. Bartlett\*

Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, 1830 East Monument Street, Rm 447, Baltimore, MD 21202, United States

Bartlett. Anaerobe 2012;18:235-9

## **Anaerobes and pneumonia**

Anaerobe 18 (2012) 235-239

ELSEVIER	Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect Anaerobe journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/anaerobe								
Clinical microbi Anaerobic	Table 2 Clinical features of pul	involving and	aerobic bacteria	à					
Johns Hopkins Universit	Number <sup>b</sup>	Pneumonitis 79	Abscess 83	Empyema 51	Total 213				
	Age (yrs)	60	52	49	51				
	Peak fever (°F)	102.6	102.1	102.4	102.4				
	WBC ( $\times$ 1000/mL)	13.7	15.0	21.6	15.0				
	Duration six (days)	3	14	15	7				
	Weight loss (Yes)	3%	43%	55%	30%				
	Putrid discharge	4%	49%	63%	32%				
	Mortality	4%	4%	6%	4%				
	<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> Categories are mutually exclusive.</li> <li><sup>b</sup> All figures are median values.</li> </ul>								

## What are the outcomes of MDR in VAP?

American Journal of Infection Control xxx (2014) 1-4

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



American Journal of Infection Control

journal homepage: www.ajicjournal.org

Brief report

## The impact of multidrug resistance on outcomes in ventilator-associated pneumonia

Rudy Tedja MD<sup>a</sup>, Amy Nowacki PhD<sup>b</sup>, Thomas Fraser MD<sup>a,c</sup>, Cynthia Fatica RN<sup>c</sup>, Lori Griffiths RN<sup>c</sup>, Steven Gordon MD<sup>a</sup>, Carlos Isada MD<sup>a</sup>, David van Duin MD, PhD<sup>d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases, Medicine Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

<sup>b</sup> Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

<sup>c</sup> Department of Infection Prevention, Quality and Patient Safety Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

<sup>d</sup> Division of Infectious Diseases, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC

Tedja, et al. Am J Infect Control. 2014;pii: S0196-6553(13)01428-4.
#### What are the outcomes of MDR in VAP?

American Journal of Infection Control xxx (2014) 1-4



Tedja, et al. Am J Infect Control. 2014;pii: S0196-6553(13)01428-4.

#### What are the outcomes of MDR in VAP?

American Journal of Infection Control xxx (2014) 1-4



Tedja, et al. Am J Infect Control. 2014;pii: S0196-6553(13)01428-4.

#### What happens if you are inadequate...

Intensive Care Med (2013) 39:682–692 DOI 10.1007/s00134-013-2828-9

#### ORIGINAL

Mario Tumbarello Gennaro De Pascale Enrico Maria Trecarichi Teresa Spanu Federica Antonicelli Riccardo Maviglia Mariano Alberto Pennisi Giuseppe Bello Massimo Antonelli Clinical outcomes of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pneumonia in intensive care unit patients

Tumbarello, et al Intensive Care Med. 2013;39:682-92

#### What happens if you are inadequate...



# Key questions to ask when setting guidelines in infectious diseases (with application to pneumonia)

- How sure are you of the diagnosis ?
- Which are the main pathogens ?
- What are their current resistance patterns ?
- How should the therapy be initiated (empiric vs. directed) ?
- Which level of adverse effects is acceptable ?
- Which patients do you mainly treat?
- Does cost matter?
- What are your real choices?

- Community-acquired pneumonia
  - local (country, region...) data on resistance (example: macrolides and *S. pneumoniae*)



European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in Europe 2012. Annual Report of the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net). Stockholm: ECDC; 2013. p. 52

#### Community-acquired pneumonia

- local (country, region...) data on resistance (example: macrolides and *S. pneumoniae*)
- stratification for occurrence of resistant pathogens (or with decreased susceptibility [β-lactams])

#### Community-acquired pneumonia

 local (country, region...) data on resistance (example: macrolides and *S. pneumoniae*)

- stratification for occurrence of resistant nathogens (or with 
 Table 2. Scoring System to Evaluate Presence of MDR
 decreased s Pathogens in Hospitalized Patients With CAP Variable Score No risk factors for MDR pathogen (including comorbidities) 0  $\geq$  1 of the following: cerebrovascular disease; diabetes; COPD; 0.5 antimicrobial therapy in preceding 90 days; immunosuppression; home wound care; home infusiontherapy (including antibiotics) Residence in a nursing home or extended-care facility 3 Hospitalization for  $\geq 2$  days in preceding 90 days Chronic renal failure 5 Adapted from Aliberti S, Di Pasquale M, Zanaboni AM, et al. Stratifying risk factors for multidrug-resistant pathogens in hospitalized patients coming from the community with pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54(4):470-478.12 © 2011; Oxford University Press. Used with permission. Abbreviations: CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; COPD, chronic obstructive

Abbreviations: CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; MDR, multidrug-resistant.

#### Community-acquired pneumonia

- local (country, region...) data on resistance (example: macrolides and *S. pneumoniae*)
- stratification for occurrence of resistant pathogens (or with decreased susceptibility [β-lactams])

#### scoring of severity and potential for Gram-negatives

	<b>&gt;</b>		
Table 4. Recommended community-acquired pneumonia therapy and management from published international guidelines *			
	BTS guidelines [24]	ATS/IDSA guidelines [25]	ERS/ESCMID guidelines [26]
Moderate/high severity patients*	CURB65 score 3 or more consider ICU Treat with β-lactam plus macrolide iv	Consider ICU fo <u>r sepsis or &gt;2 minor severity</u> criteria Increased Comorbidities or prior antimicrobials (within 3 months) treat with respiratory fluoroquinolone or beta lactam plus macrolide iv	Consider ICU for <u>respiratory failure or sepsis or</u> >2 minor severity criteria Stratify by risk for <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> Non-antipseudomonal treat with cephalosporin III + macrolide Or Movifloyacin or levofloyacin + non-antipseudomonal
			cephalosporin III

\*These are not necessarily the terms used in the guidelines but give a broad translation of what the guidelines state.

Khan & Woodhead . F1000 Prime Reports 2013;5:43

#### Community-acquired pneumonia

- local (country, region...) data on resistance (example: macrolides and *S. pneumoniae*)
- stratification for occurrence of resistant pathogens (or with decreased susceptibility [β-lactams])
- scoring of severity and potential for Gram-negatives

#### - presence of S. aureus (MSSA / MRSA)

Type of infection	MSSA	MRSA	days
acute / non PVL	<ul> <li>BLRP (150 mg/kg) <sup>a</sup></li> <li>amoxyclav (2-6g)</li> <li>cllindamycin <sup>c</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>vancomycin (30mg/kg) <sup>b</sup></li> <li>teicoplanin (400-600 mg/day</li> <li>clindamycin <sup>c</sup></li> <li>pristinamycin</li> </ul>	4-7
necrosis / PVL +	• BLRP (150-200 mg/kg) <sup>a</sup> + clindamycin <sup>c</sup>	<ul> <li>vancomycin (30-40 mg/kg <sup>b</sup> or continuous infusion)</li> <li>+ clindamycin <sup>c</sup></li> <li>linezolid (1.2g q12h) *</li> <li>ceftaroline (1.2g) + clindamycin <sup>c</sup></li> </ul>	≥ 14
<sup>a</sup> β-lactamase-resistant pen <sup>c</sup> 1.8 to 2.4 g/day in 3-4 ac	icillin (in 3 or 4 administrations/day ( dministration per day (q8h or q6h); *	(q8h or Q6h)) ; <sup>b</sup> in 2 administrations per day ( non-validated (off-label)	, (12h)

Translated from Valour et al Rev Pneumol Clin. 2013;69:368-82

# Some potential approaches Hospital acquired pneumonia (including VAP)

#### - preventive measures (VAP)

 
 Table 1. Effects of the main preventive measures for ventilator-associated pneumonia prevention in randomized controlled studies or last meta-analyses

Intervention	Year, design	Patients (n)	RRR of VAP (%)
Reducing the time at risk			
NPPV	2005, meta-analysis (12 studies)	3030	↓ <b>25%</b>
Preventing endotracheal tube colonization and min	imizing contaminated microaspirations		
Silver-coated ET	2008, RCT (54 ICUs)	1509	↓ <b>36%</b>
Saline instillation before tracheal suctioning	2009, RCT (one ICU)	262	↓ 54%
ET with SSD	2010, meta-analysis (13 RCTs)	2442	↓ 48% (four RCTs)
Endotracheal tube with ultrathin membrane and SSD	2007, RCT (one ICU)	280	↓ 64%
Endotracheal tube with an ultrathin and tapered-shape cuff membrane	2008, RCT (one ICU)	134	↓ 45%
Continuous control of tracheal cuff pressure	2011, RCT (one ICU)	122	↓ 63%
Head-of-bed elevation	1999, RCT (two ICUs)	86	↓78%
Kinetic beds	2006, meta-analysis (15 RCTs)	1169	↓ 53% (10 RCTs)
Positive end-expiratory pressure	2008, RCT (three ICUs)	131	↓ <b>63</b> %
Modulation of colonization			
Oral care with chlorhexidine	2010, meta-analysis (12 RCTs)	2341	↓ 24%
Probiotics	2010, RCT (one ICU)	146	↓ <b>47%</b>

Data from [17]. ET, endotracheal tube; NPPV, noninvasive positive pressure ventilation; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RRR, relative risk reduction; SBT spontaneous breathing trial; SSD, subglottic secretion drainage; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia.

Barbier et al. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2013;19:216-28

- Hospital acquired pneumonia (including VAP)
  - guidelines: 1. target organisms

Table 3. Current guidelines for the empirical treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia, including ventilator-associated pneumonia			
	Early-onset HAP/VAP (≤Day 4 of hospital stay/MV) without risk factors for MDR pathogen <sup>a</sup>	Late-onset HAP/VAP ( $\geq$ Day 5 of hospital stay/MV) OR presence of $\geq$ 1 risk factor for MDR pathogen <sup>b</sup>	
Most common (i.e. targeted) pathogens	MSSA	MRSA	
	Streptococcus pneumonia and other streptococci Haemophilus sp.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	
	Wild-type Enterobacteriaceae	Drug-resistant Enterobacteriacae	
		Acinetobacter baumannii	
		Stenotrophomonas maltophilia.	

#### Hospital acquired pneumonia (including VAP)

 guidelines: 2. Societies' recommendations for "early onset" HAP/VAP without risk factors for MDR pathogen (\*)

British Society of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (2008)	American Thoracic Society/Infectious Diseases Society of America (2005)	European RespiratorySociety/ European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases/European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (2009)
<ul> <li>aminopenicillin/beta- lactamase inhibitor, or</li> <li>cefuroxime</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ceftriaxone, or</li> <li>levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, or ciprofloxacin, or</li> <li>ampicillin/sulbactam, or</li> <li>ertapenem</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ampicillin/sulbactam or amoxicillin/clavulanate, or</li> <li>cefuroxime, cefotaxime or ceftriaxone, or</li> <li>moxifloxacin or levofloxacin (not ciprofloxacin)</li> </ul>

\* add vancomycin or linezoid if MRSA is suspected

Adapted from Barbier, et al. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2013;19:216-28

See also Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2005;171:388-416 / JAC. 2008;62:5-34 / Intensive Care Med 2009; 35:9-29

#### Hospital acquired pneumonia (including VAP)

- guidelines: 2. Societies' recommendations for "late onset" HAP/VAP or with  $\geq$ 1 risk factors for MDR pathogen

British Society of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (2008)	American Thoracic Society/Infectious Diseases Society of America (2005)	European RespiratorySociety/ European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases/European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (2009)
<ul> <li>early onset with risk MDR</li> <li>ceftaxime or ceftriaxone or</li> <li>fluoroquinolone or</li> <li>piperacillin/tazobactam</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>cefepime or ceftazidime, or</li> <li>imipenem or meropenem, or</li> <li>piperacillin/tazobactam,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ceftazidime or</li> <li>imipenem or meropenem or</li> <li>piperacillin/tazobactam + ciproflevencin or leveflevencin</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>late onset</li> <li>use local epidemiology</li> <li>if <i>P.aeruginosa</i>: ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, meropenem or piperacillin/tazobactam</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>or</li> <li>ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin or</li> <li>or</li> <li>amikacin or gentamicin or tobramycin</li> </ul>	cipronoxacin or levonoxaci

\* add vancomycin or linezoid if MRSA is suspected

Adapted from Barbier et al Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2013;19:216-28

See also Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005;171:388-416 / JAC. 2008;62:5-34 / Intensive Care Med. 2009; 35:9-29

#### **Guidelines: Local vs General**

 The empiric algorithm derived from analysis of local microbiologic data predicted significantly better coverage than one defined by an unmodified guideline-driven approach for early HAP/VAP. Our locally-derived TICU algorithm of ceftriaxone+vancomycin for early pneumonia and piperacillin-tazobactam+vancomycin for late pneumonia optimizes the adequacy of initial therapy. Understanding local patterns of pneumonia ensures the creation and maintenance of empiric algorithms that achieve the best clinical outcomes.

Becher RD, Hoth JJ, Rebo JJ, Kendall JL, Miller PR. Locally derived versus guideline-based approach to treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia in the trauma intensive care unit. *Surg Infect (Larchmt*). 2012 Dec;13(6):352-9. doi: 10.1089/sur.2011.056. PubMed PMID: 23268613.

1: Dalhoff K, Abele-Horn M, Andreas S, Bauer T, von Baum H, Deja M, Ewig S, Gastmeier P, Gatermann S, Gerlach H, Grabein B, Höffken G, Kern WV, Kramme E, Lange C, Lorenz J, Mayer K, Nachtigall I, Pletz M, Rohde G, Rosseau S, Schaaf B, Schaumann R, Schreiter D, Schütte H, Seifert H, Sitter H, Spies C, Welte T; German Society for Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine; German Society for Infectious Diseases; German Society for Hygiene and Microbiology; German Respiratory Society; Paul-Ehrlich-Society for Chemotherapy. [Epidemiology, diagnosis and treatment of adult patients with nosocomial pneumonia. S-3 Guideline of the German Society for Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, the German Society for Infectious Diseases, the German Society for Hygiene and Microbiology, the German Respiratory Society and the Paul-Ehrlich-Society for Chemotherapy]. Pneumologie. 2012 Dec;66(12):707-65. doi: 10.1055/s-0032-1325924. Epub 2012 Dec 6. German. PubMed PMID: 23225407. https://www.thieme-connect.com/DOI/DOI?10.1055/s-0032-1325924

### Haemophilus: is it important?



http://www.pathologyoutlines.c om/topic/lymphnodeshinfluenz ae.html

- Haemophilus is often considered as a colonizer of the upper respiratory tract with risks only for patients with COPD
- However, in coinfection with a preceding viral infection, Haemophilus may colonize the lung, leading to lethal secondary bacterial pneumonia.

# Genome-wide fitness profiling reveals adaptations required by *Haemophilus* in coinfection with influenza A virus in the murine lung

Sandy M. Wong<sup>a</sup>, Mariana Bernui<sup>b</sup>, Hao Shen<sup>b,1</sup>, and Brian J. Akerley<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Microbiology and Physiological Systems, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01605; and <sup>b</sup>Department of Microbiology, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA 19104

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Wong SM, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110:15413-8.

### Haemophilus: this may be why !



http://www.pathologyoutlines.c om/topic/lymphnodeshinfluenz



Fig. 1. Genome-scale evaluation by HITS of the effect of IAV coinfection on lung colonization by *H. influenzae* mutants. (*A*) Coinfection alters survival requirements of *H. influenzae* in the lung. Candidate virulence genes with no observed effects on fitness in vitro were sorted into categories based on their roles in fitness detected in vivo. Genes required in both in vivo conditions are listed in Dataset S1 and discussed in the text. (*B*) Representative HITS data preinfection (in vitro) and postinfection with *H. influenzae* alone (HI alone) or IAV/*H. influenzae* coinfection (IAV+HI) for loci containing *thiL* and *gor*. Colored bars on the *x* axes designate sites of transposon insertions detected via sequencing and heights indicate relative abundance of insertions detected at each site (*y* axis: log<sub>10</sub>-transformed reads). Black bars below the *x* axis represent genomic TA dinucleotide positions.

Wong SM, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110:15413-8.

### Haemophilus and resistance: recto

# Are -lactamase-negative ampicillin-resistant (BLNAR) isolates important ?



http://www.pathologyoutlines.c om/topic/lymphnodeshinfluenz ae.html





Dabernat et al. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012;31:2745-53

**Warning:** antibiotic discs may fail to fully separated between BLNAS and BLNAR populations (Garcia-Cobos, *et al. JAC*. 2013;68: 159–63) **Good news:** The majority of invasive *H* influenzae including BLNAR remain susceptible to third

**Good news:** The majority of invasive *H. influenzae* including BLNAR remain susceptible to third-generation cephalosporins (Garcia-Cobos, *et al. JAC.* 2014;69:111-6)

See also Puig, et al PLoS One. 2013;13-8:e82515 for clinical success with ceftriaxone and fluoroquinolones

91

no statistical difference over time was detected (p = 0.341).

#### 30 Amoxicillin beta-lactamase+ 25 BLNAR 20



Figure 3. Beta-lactamase-positive and BLNAR isolates over a 6-y period. Division of amoxicillin-resistant strains into BLNAR isolates and beta-lactamase-positive isolates. BLNAR prevalence was approximately 5% over the 6 y and trend analysis showed a stable trend over time. The prevalence of beta-lactamase-positive isolates was approximately 11% over the studied time period. The logistic regression trend line showed a decrease over time, although



http://www.pathologyoutlines.c om/topic/lymphnodeshinfluenz ae.html

#### Haemophilus and resistance: verso

But other regions may be spared

# Haemophilus and fluoroquinolones

#### Asia may be leading...



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jic



A molecular analysis of quinolone-resistant *Haemophilus influenzae*: Validation of the mutations in Quinolone Resistance-Determining Regions

Hisashi Shoji\*, Tetsuro Shirakura, Kunihiko Fukuchi, Takahiro Takuma, Hideaki Hanaki, Kazuo Tanaka, Yoshihito Niki

Showa University, School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Division of Clinical Infectious Diseases, 1-5-8, Hatanodai, Shinagawa, Tokyo, Japan

Shoji H, et al. J Infect Chemother. 2014;20:250-5

We confirmed that these five mutations strongly contribute to quinolone resistance and found that the degree of resistance is related to the number of the mutations. In addition, the three strains of 18 susceptible strains (16.7%) also had a single mutation. These strains may therefore be in the initial stage of quinolone resistance. Currently, the frequency of quinolone-resistant *H. influenzae* is still low. However, as has occurred with  $\beta$ -lactams, <u>an increase in quinolone use may lead to more quinolone-resistant</u> strains.

© 2014, Japanese Society of Chemotherapy and The Japanese Association for Infectious Diseases.

Infection and Chemothera



http://www.pathologyoutlines.c om/topic/lymphnodeshinfluenz ae.html