

Electrically enhanced transdermal delivery of domperidone

A. Jadoul, V. Pr at *

Unit  de Pharmacie Gal nique, Industrielle et Officinale, Universit  catholique de Louvain, Avenue E. Mounier, UCL 73.20, 1200 Brussels, Belgium

Received 18 February 1997; received in revised form 6 May 1997; accepted 7 May 1997

Abstract

The aim of this study was to investigate whether transdermal delivery of domperidone can be enhanced to therapeutic levels by iontophoresis and/or electroporation. In vitro studies were performed with a solution of domperidone pH 3.5 in 9.5% (v/v) ethanol. Iontophoresis (2 h at 0.4 mA/cm²) increased the transdermal permeation by a factor 15 as compared to passive diffusion. Application of 5 long ($\tau = 700$ ms) high-voltage (250 V) pulses increased the domperidone permeation by a factor of up to 70. Application of one pulse (250 V–700 ms) prior to iontophoresis provided similar penetration enhancement to 5 pulses (250 V–700 ms). No significant enhancement was provided by application of one short pulse (1000 V–4 ms) prior to iontophoresis, probably due to a different mechanism of permeabilization and/or recovery kinetics to the initial permeability state. The domperidone permeation flux by skin electroporation (1.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ h) is in the range of the fluxes measured with chemical penetration enhancers but the lag time was reduced. However, due to the low hydrosolubility of domperidone, electrically enhanced flux remains too low for therapeutic application.   1997 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Domperidone; Transdermal delivery; Iontophoresis; Electroporation

1. Introduction

Drug delivery across skin offers a non invasive, user-friendly alternative to conventional oral or parenteral administrations. Potential degradation in the gastro-intestinal tract or hepatic first pass are avoided. Transdermal therapeutic systems

allow sustained and controlled release of drug. However the skin's outer layer, the stratum corneum, is an extremely effective barrier which prevents transport of most drugs at therapeutic rates. Hence, candidates for passive transdermal delivery share three common properties: effectiveness at relatively low doses, molecular mass less than 500 Da and lipophilicity. Lag times of several hours are often observed (Guy and Hadgraft, 1989).

* Corresponding author, Tel: + 32 2 7647320; fax: + 32 2 7647398.

iontophoresis power source or to the electroporation device (Easyject Plus[®], Equibio, Seraing, Belgium; Vanbever et al., 1994), the anode being inserted in the donor compartment for both electrical protocols and the cathode in the receptor compartment. The interelectrodes distance was 1 cm. The time interval between the pre pulse and iontophoretic current switching on was a few s. The amount of transferred charges during the pulses was calculated as previously reported (Vanbever and Pr at, 1995). Abbreviated descriptions of electrical protocols are given in the paper and can be interpreted according to the following example: $5 \times (250 \text{ V} - 700 \text{ ms})$ indicates that 5 pulses were applied with a voltage to the electrodes = 250 V and $\tau = 700 \text{ ms}$. τ corresponds to the length between the beginning of the pulses and the time when the voltage reaches 37% of its initial value.

The transport of domperidone permeation was followed for 6 hours. The drug concentration was determined by measuring the radioactivity in a liquid scintillation counter. The ratio of the cumulative quantities detected in the receptor compartment to the membrane area was calculated as a function of time. Fluxes were deduced by linear regression. The results are expressed as means \pm the standard errors of the means.

The electrochemical stability of domperidone was checked by HPLC (Calpena et al., 1994). Statistical analysis was performed on the cumulative quantities detected at 6 h by a one-way analysis of variance (Anova, Scheff -F test).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Iontophoresis

The iontophoretic delivery of ionized domperidone ($pK_a = 7.9$) was first investigated. The cumulative quantity of domperidone was measured during current application (2 h at 0.4 mA/cm^2) and 4 h thereafter. Iontophoresis was compared to a passive diffusion performed during 6 h.

As shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1, application of iontophoresis enhanced the transdermal domperidone permeation. The cumulative quantity de-




Fig. 2. Cumulative quantity of domperidone detected in the receptor compartment as a function of time. Electroporation—1 or $5 \times 250 \text{ V} - 700 \text{ ms}$ ($n = 6$) or $5 \times 100 \text{ V} - 1000 \text{ ms}$ ($n = 7$)—was compared to 2 h iontophoresis ($n = 7$) or to electroporation ($1 \times 250 \text{ V} - 700 \text{ ms}$ ($n = 7$) or $1 \times 1000 \text{ V} - 4 \text{ ms}$ ($n = 7$)) followed by 2 hours iontophoresis (0.4 mA/cm^2).

tected after 6 h passive diffusion ($0.064 \pm 0.013 \text{ µg/cm}^2$) was increased by a factor of 15 (up to $1.068 \pm 0.135 \text{ µg/cm}^2$) by iontophoresis. Moreover, iontophoresis reduced the lag time to less than 2 h ($1.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ h}$). The mechanisms responsible for the increased permeation might include electrophoretic movement, electro-osmotic flux and diffusion across skin. The mean permeation flux observed by iontophoresis (Table 1) was low as compared to the fluxes obtained by chemical penetration enhancers (up to $11.8 \text{ µg/cm}^2 \text{ h}$ for rat skin; Calpena et al., 1994).

3.2. Electroporation

Electroporation has been demonstrated as a powerful method to overcome the stratum corneum barrier (Prausnitz et al., 1993, 1995; Vanbever et al., 1994, 1996a). Therefore, transdermal domperidone delivery was investigated by application of high voltage pulses. 1 or $5 \times (250 \text{ V} - 700 \text{ ms})$ were applied and compared to the application of $5 \times (100 \text{ V} - 1000 \text{ ms})$ (Table 1; Vanbever et al., 1994, 1996a).

Application of $5 \times (250 \text{ V} - 700 \text{ ms})$ significantly enhanced the drug permeation versus passive diffusion and iontophoresis (Fig. 2, Table 1; $p < 0.05$). The domperidone permeation increased up

Table 1

Cumulative quantity (at 6 h), flux (calculated between 4 and 6 h) of domperidone and amount of charges transferred as a function of the electrical treatment

	a Cumulative quantities (ng/cm ²)	b Flux (ng/cm ² h)	c Transferred charges (C)	d Ratio (a/c) (ng/cm ² C)
Diffusion	64 ± 13	N.D.	/	
Iontophoresis (I) 2 h (0.4 mA/cm ²)	1068 ± 135	259 ± 34	8.6	124
Electroporation (EP)				
1 × (250 V–700 ms)	725 ± 154	133 ± 40	0.7	1035
5 × (250 V–700 ms)	4616 ± 858	1494 ± 399	3.6	1282
5 × (100 V–1000 ms)	1986 ± 431	534 ± 190	1.4	1419
EP+I				
250 V+I	4130 ± 809	1130 ± 181	9.3	444
1000 V+I	1064 ± 162	355 ± 39	8.6	124

to a factor 70. Increasing the number of pulses significantly enhanced the drug permeation ($p < 0.05$).

A correlation exists between the amount of charges transferred and the cumulative quantities detected after electroporation (Table 1) indicating that the electrophoretic movement might play an important role in the domperidone transport by electroporation (Regnier et al., in press).

A similar permeation enhancement was observed with 2 h iontophoresis and 1 × (250 V–700 ms). The difference in transferred charges (Table 1) could indicate that domperidone transport was less hindered during electroporation than during iontophoresis, due to changes in skin micro structures, i.e. the creation of new or enlarged pathways (Prausnitz et al., 1995; Bommannan et al., 1994).

After pulsing, the rate of domperidone permeation remained elevated for several hours, suggesting the creation of a drug reservoir within the skin and/or a persistent change in skin permeability due to altered skin structure (Vanbever, 1997). Due to the high partition coefficient of domperidone, a high affinity for the lipophilic environment of the stratum corneum might be responsible for the drug reservoir formation (Jadoul et al., 1995). As reported previously, increased passive diffusion due to a permeabilized state can

be observed in vitro for more than 6 h after application of high voltage pulses (Vanbever et al., 1996b). The increased permeability observed after high voltage pulsing has recently been related to a general perturbation of the stratum corneum lipid structure (Jadoul, 1997).

3.3. Electroporation plus iontophoresis

Because electroporation is mechanistically different, involving alterations of skin structure, application of electroporation in combination with other enhancers could be beneficial (Prausnitz et al., 1993). The application of a short high voltage pulse prior to iontophoresis has been shown to enhance iontophoretic transport of LHRH about 10 fold (Bommannan et al., 1994; Potts et al., in press).

Two kinds of electroporation protocols were applied prior to iontophoresis in this study: high voltage-short duration (1000 V–4 ms) and long duration pulses (250 V–700 ms) (Bommannan et al., 1994; Vanbever and Pr at, 1995). Results are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1. A significant enhancement in transdermal drug permeation was observed when 1 × (250 V–700 ms) was followed by 2 h iontophoresis (Fig. 2; $p < 0.05$ versus passive diffusion and one high voltage pulse alone). This increased transport cannot be explained by

incremental charges due to the pulse (Table 1). The creation of a permeabilized state of the skin due to high voltage pulses exposure before iontophoresis is more likely the origin of the synergism between electroporation and iontophoresis. Potts et al. showed that the relative transport enhancement achieved by application of a high voltage pulse prior to iontophoresis decreased with increasing size of the peptide. The results are therefore consistent with the electro-induced formation of ion-conductive pathways of finite average size (Potts et al., in press).

Surprisingly, no significant enhancement of domperidone iontophoretic flux could be seen when $1 \times (1000 \text{ V} - 4 \text{ ms})$ was applied about 4 s prior to iontophoresis. A two steps phenomenon was suggested in the phenomenon of skin permeabilization by high voltage pulses: i) the permeabilized structure is created due to the high voltage applied and ii) the maintenance and/or extension is dependent on the duration and the number of pulses (Vanbever et al., 1996b). The short pulse could not be able therefore to maintain the permeabilized structure until iontophoresis switching on. Consistent with this hypothesis, no skin alteration measured by X-ray scattering was detected after repeated application of short pulses in contrast to the perturbations observed with long pulses (Jadoul, 1997). Similarly, alterations detected by non invasive bioengineering studies were less important for short pulses than during longer high voltage pulses or iontophoresis (Vanbever et al., in press).

4. Conclusion

This study investigated the transdermal drug delivery of domperidone by electrical current application. Both iontophoresis and electroporation significantly increased the domperidone permeation. Furthermore, the synergy of one high voltage electrical pulse and iontophoresis puts forward an interesting and promising method for transdermal drug delivery enhancement.

Compared to the use of chemical enhancer, domperidone delivery was not improved by ion-

tophoresis and/or electroporation. However, the potential advantages of electrically enhanced delivery i.e. rapid delivery and control of the dose delivered, confirm the interest of the physical methods to enhance drug delivery.

References

- Blanes, C., Colom, H., Moreno, J., Obach, R., Peraire, C., Domenech, J., 1990. Comparative study in vitro of transdermal absorption of a series of antiemetic drugs, (special Issue) *Eur. J. Drug Metab. Pharmacokin.*, 410–414.
- Bommannan, D., Tamada, J., Leung, L., Potts, R.O., 1994. Effect of electroporation on transdermal iontophoretic delivery of luteinizing releasing hormone (LHRH) in vitro. *Pharm. Res.* 11, 1809–1814.
- Calpena, A.C., Lauroba, J., Suriol, M., Obach, R., Domenech, J., 1994. Effect of d-limonene on the transdermal permeation of nifedipine and domperidone. *Int. J. Pharm.* 103, 179–186.
- Guy, R.H., Hadgraft, J., 1990. Selection of drug candidates for transdermal drug delivery, In: Hadgraft, J., Guy, R.H. (Eds.), *Transdermal drug delivery, developmental issues and research initiatives*, Marcel Dekker, Basel, pp. 59–81.
- Jadoul, A., Hanchard, C., Thysman, S., Pr at, V., 1995. Quantification and localization of fentanyl and TRH delivered by iontophoresis in the skin. *Int. J. Pharm.* 120, 221–228.
- Jadoul, A., 1997. Skin perturbations induced by iontophoresis and electroporation: enhancement in drug transport and integrity of the stratum corneum, PhD thesis, Universit  catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium.
- Potts, R.O., D. Bommannan, O. Wong and J.A. Tamada, Transdermal peptide delivery using electroporation. In: Sanders, L.M., Hendren, R.W. (Eds.), *Protein Delivery, Physical Systems*, Plenum, New York, in press.
- Prausnitz, M.R., Bose, V.G., Langer, R., Weaver, J.C., 1993. Electroporation of mammalian skin: a mechanism to enhance transdermal drug delivery. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 90, 10504–10508.
- Prausnitz, M.R., Edelman, E.R., Gimm, J.A., Langer, R., Weaver, J.C., 1995. Transdermal delivery of heparin by skin electroporation. *Biotechnology* 13, 1205–1209.
- Regnier, V., Le Doan, T., Pr at, V., Parameters controlling topical delivery of oligonucleotides by electroporation, in press.
- Sage, B.H., 1993. Iontophoresis. In: Swarbrick, J., Boylan, J.C. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Pharmaceutical Technology*, Marcel Dekker, New York, vol. 8, pp. 217–247.
- Singh, P., Maibach, H.I., 1996. Iontophoresis: an alternative to the use of carriers in cutaneous drug delivery. *Adv. Drug Del. Rev.* 18, 379–394.
- Smith, E.W., H.I. Maibach, 1996. Percutaneous penetration enhancers, CRC Press, Boca Raton.

- Vanbever, R., Lecouturier, N., Pr at, V., 1994. Transdermal delivery of metoprolol by electroporation. *Pharm. Res.* 11, 1657–1662.
- Vanbever, R., Pr at, V., 1995. Factors affecting transdermal delivery of metoprolol by electroporation. *Bioelectrochem Bioenerg.* 38, 223–228.
- Vanbever, R., Le Boulenge, E., Pr at, V., 1996a. Transdermal delivery of fentanyl by electroporation I. Influence of electrical factors. *Pharm. Res.* 4, 559–565.
- Vanbever, R., Skrypczack, D., Jadoul A., Pr at, V., 1996. Comparison of skin electroperturbations induced by iontophoresis and electroporation. In: Brain, K.R., Walters K.A., (Eds.), *Prediction of Percutaneous Penetration*, vol. 4b. STS Publishing, Cardiff, pp 243–246.
- Vanbever, R., 1997. *Skin electroporation for transdermal drug delivery*, PhD thesis, Universit  catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium.
- Vanbever, R., Fouchard, D., Jadoul, A., De Morre, N., Pr at, V., Marty, J.-P., *In vivo non-invasive evaluation of hairless rat skin after high-voltage pulse exposure*, in press.
- Zewert, T.E., Pliquett, U.F., Langer, R., Weaver, J.C., 1995. Transdermal transport of DNA antisense oligonucleotides by electroporation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 212, 286–292.